

# Package ‘bgms’

May 15, 2024

**Type** Package

**Title** Bayesian Analysis of Networks of Binary and/or Ordinal Variables

**Version** 0.1.3.1

**Date** 2024-05-14

**Maintainer** Maarten Marsman <m.marsman@uva.nl>

**Description** Bayesian variable selection methods for analyzing the structure of a Markov Random Field model for a network of binary and/or ordinal variables. Details of the implemented methods can be found in: Marsman and Haslbeck (2023) <[doi:10.31234/osf.io/ukwrf](https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/ukwrf)>.

**License** GPL (>= 2)

**URL** <https://maartenmarsman.github.io/bgms/>

**BugReports** <https://github.com/MaartenMarsman/bgms/issues>

**Imports** Rcpp (>= 1.0.7), Rdpack, methods

**RdMacros** Rdpack

**LinkingTo** Rcpp, RcppProgress

**RoxygenNote** 7.2.3

**Depends** R (>= 2.10)

**LazyData** true

**Encoding** UTF-8

**Suggests** knitr, qgraph, rmarkdown, testthat (>= 3.0.0)

**VignetteBuilder** knitr

**Config/testthat/edition** 3

**Config/Needs/website** tidyverse/tidytemplate

**NeedsCompilation** yes

**Author** Maarten Marsman [aut, cre] (<<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5309-7502>>),  
Karoline Huth [ctb] (<<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0662-1591>>),  
Nikola Sekulovski [ctb] (<<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7032-1684>>),  
Don van den Bergh [ctb] (<<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9838-7308>>)

**Repository** CRAN

**Date/Publication** 2024-05-15 11:40:02 UTC

## R topics documented:

bgm	2
mrfSampler	7
print.bgms	9
Wenchuan	10

<b>Index</b>	<b>12</b>
--------------	-----------

---

bgm	<i>Bayesian edge selection or Bayesian estimation for Markov Random Fields of mixed binary and ordinal variables using MCMC.</i>
-----	--

---

### Description

The function `bgm` explores the joint pseudoposterior distribution of parameters and possibly edge indicators for a Markov Random Field model for mixed binary and ordinal variables.

### Usage

```
bgm(
  x,
  variable_type = "ordinal",
  reference_category,
  iter = 10000,
  burnin = 1000,
  interaction_scale = 2.5,
  threshold_alpha = 0.5,
  threshold_beta = 0.5,
  edge_selection = TRUE,
  edge_prior = c("Bernoulli", "Beta-Bernoulli"),
  inclusion_probability = 0.5,
  beta_bernoulli_alpha = 1,
  beta_bernoulli_beta = 1,
  na.action = c("listwise", "impute"),
  save = FALSE,
  display_progress = TRUE
)
```

### Arguments

x	A data frame or matrix with $n$ rows and $p$ columns containing binary and ordinal variables for $n$ independent observations and $p$ variables in the network. Regular binary and ordinal variables are recoded as non-negative integers $(0, 1, \dots, m)$ if not already done. Unobserved categories are collapsed into other categories after recoding (i.e., if category 1 is unobserved, the data are recoded from $(0, 2)$ to $(0, 1)$ ). Blume-Capel ordinal variables are also coded as non-negative integers if not already done. However, since “distance” to the reference category plays
---	---

an important role in this model, unobserved categories are not collapsed after recoding.

variable_type	What kind of variables are there in $x$ ? Can be a single character string specifying the variable type of all $p$ variables at once or a vector of character strings of length $p$ specifying the type for each variable in $x$ separately. Currently, bgm supports “ordinal” and “blume-capel”. Binary variables are automatically treated as “ordinal”. Defaults to <code>variable_type = "ordinal"</code> .
reference_category	The reference category in the Blume-Capel model. Should be an integer within the range of integer scores observed for the “blume-capel” variable. Can be a single number specifying the reference category for all Blume-Capel variables at once, or a vector of length $p$ where the $i$ -th element contains the reference category for variable $i$ if it is Blume-Capel, and bgm ignores its elements for other variable types. The value of the reference category is also recoded when bgm recodes the corresponding observations. Only required if there is at least one variable of type “blume-capel”.
iter	How many iterations should the Gibbs sampler run? The default of $1e4$ is for illustrative purposes. For stable estimates, it is recommended to run the Gibbs sampler for at least $1e5$ iterations.
burnin	The number of iterations of the Gibbs sampler before saving its output. Since it may take some time for the Gibbs sampler to converge to the posterior distribution, it is recommended not to set this number too low.
interaction_scale	The scale of the Cauchy distribution that is used as a prior for the pairwise interaction parameters. Defaults to 2.5.
threshold_alpha, threshold_beta	The shape parameters of the beta-prime prior density for the threshold parameters. Must be positive values. If the two values are equal, the prior density is symmetric about zero. If <code>threshold_beta</code> is greater than <code>threshold_alpha</code> , the distribution is skewed to the left, and if <code>threshold_beta</code> is less than <code>threshold_alpha</code> , it is skewed to the right. Smaller values tend to lead to more diffuse prior distributions.
edge_selection	Should the function perform Bayesian edge selection on the edges of the MRF in addition to estimating its parameters ( <code>edge_selection = TRUE</code> ), or should it just estimate the parameters ( <code>edge_selection = FALSE</code> )? The default is <code>edge_selection = TRUE</code> .
edge_prior	The inclusion or exclusion of individual edges in the network is modeled with binary indicator variables that capture the structure of the network. The argument <code>edge_prior</code> is used to set a prior distribution for the edge indicator variables, i.e., the structure of the network. Currently, two options are implemented: The Bernoulli model <code>edge_prior = "Bernoulli"</code> assumes that the probability that an edge between two variables is included is equal to <code>inclusion_probability</code> and independent of other edges or variables. When <code>inclusion_probability = 0.5</code> , this means that each possible network structure is given the same prior weight. The Beta-Bernoulli model <code>edge_prior = "Beta-Bernoulli"</code> assumes a beta prior for the unknown inclusion probability with shape parameters <code>beta_bernoulli_alpha</code> and <code>beta_bernoulli_beta</code> . If <code>beta_bernoulli_alpha = 1</code> and <code>beta_bernoulli_beta</code>

= 1, this means that networks with the same complexity (number of edges) get the same prior weight. The default is `edge_prior = "Bernoulli"`.

<code>inclusion_probability</code>	The prior edge inclusion probability for the Bernoulli model. Can be a single probability, or a matrix of <code>p</code> rows and <code>p</code> columns specifying an inclusion probability for each edge pair. The default is <code>inclusion_probability = 0.5</code> .
<code>beta_bernoulli_alpha, beta_bernoulli_beta</code>	The two shape parameters of the Beta prior density for the Bernoulli inclusion probability. Must be positive numbers. Defaults to <code>beta_bernoulli_alpha = 1</code> and <code>beta_bernoulli_beta = 1</code> .
<code>na.action</code>	How do you want the function to handle missing data? If <code>na.action = "listwise"</code> , listwise deletion is used. If <code>na.action = "impute"</code> , missing data are imputed iteratively during the MCMC procedure. Since imputation of missing data can have a negative impact on the convergence speed of the MCMC procedure, it is recommended to run the MCMC for more iterations. Also, since the numerical routines that search for the mode of the posterior do not have an imputation option, the <code>bgm</code> function will automatically switch to <code>interaction_prior = "Cauchy"</code> and <code>adaptive = TRUE</code> .
<code>save</code>	Should the function collect and return all samples from the Gibbs sampler ( <code>save = TRUE</code> )? Or should it only return the (model-averaged) posterior means ( <code>save = FALSE</code> )? Defaults to <code>FALSE</code> .
<code>display_progress</code>	Should the function show a progress bar ( <code>display_progress = TRUE</code> )? Or not ( <code>display_progress = FALSE</code> )? The default is <code>TRUE</code> .

## Details

Currently, `bgm` supports two types of ordinal variables. The regular, default, ordinal variable type has no restrictions on its distribution. Every response category except the first receives its own threshold parameter. The Blume-Capel ordinal variable assumes that there is a specific reference category, such as the “neutral” in a Likert scale, and responses are scored in terms of their distance to this reference category. Specifically, the Blume-Capel model specifies the following quadratic model for the threshold parameters:

$$\mu_c = \alpha \times c + \beta \times (c - r)^2,$$

where  $\mu_c$  is the threshold for category  $c$ . The parameter  $\alpha$  models a linear trend across categories, such that  $\alpha > 0$  leads to an increasing number of observations in higher response categories and  $\alpha < 0$  leads to a decreasing number of observations in higher response categories. The parameter  $\beta$  models the response style in terms of an offset with respect to the reference category  $r$ ; if  $\beta < 0$  there is a preference to respond in the reference category (i.e., the model introduces a penalty for responding in a category further away from the reference\_category category  $r$ ), while if  $\beta > 0$  there is preference to score in the extreme categories further away from the reference\_category category.

The Bayesian estimation procedure (`edge_selection = FALSE`) simply estimates the threshold and pairwise interaction parameters of the ordinal MRF, while the Bayesian edge selection procedure (`edge_selection = TRUE`) also models the probability that individual edges should be included or excluded from the model. Bayesian edge selection imposes a discrete spike and slab prior distribution on the pairwise interactions. By formulating it as a mixture of mutually singular distributions,

the function can use a combination of Metropolis-Hastings and Gibbs sampling to create a Markov chain that has the joint posterior distribution as an invariant. The current option for the slab distribution is a Cauchy with an optional scaling parameter. The slab distribution is also used as the prior for the interaction parameters for Bayesian estimation. A beta-prime distribution is used for the exponent of the category parameters. For Bayesian edge selection, two prior distributions are implemented for the edge inclusion variables (i.e., the prior probability that an edge is included); the Bernoulli prior and the Beta-Bernoulli prior.

## Value

If `save = FALSE` (the default), the result is a list of class “bgms” containing the following matrices:

- `gamma`: A matrix with `p` rows and `p` columns, containing posterior inclusion probabilities of individual edges.
- `interactions`: A matrix with `p` rows and `p` columns, containing model-averaged posterior means of the pairwise associations.
- `thresholds`: A matrix with `p` rows and `max(m)` columns, containing model-averaged category thresholds. In the case of “blume-capel” variables, the first entry is the parameter for the linear effect and the second entry is the parameter for the quadratic effect, which models the offset to the reference category.

If `save = TRUE`, the result is a list of class “bgms” containing:

- `gamma`: A matrix with `iter` rows and `p * (p - 1) / 2` columns, containing the edge inclusion indicators from every iteration of the Gibbs sampler.
- `interactions`: A matrix with `iter` rows and `p * (p - 1) / 2` columns, containing parameter states from every iteration of the Gibbs sampler for the pairwise associations.
- `thresholds`: A matrix with `iter` rows and `sum(m)` columns, containing parameter states from every iteration of the Gibbs sampler for the category thresholds.

Column averages of these matrices provide the model-averaged posterior means.

In addition to the analysis results, the `bgm` output lists some of the arguments of its call. This is useful for post-processing the results.

## Examples

```
#Store user par() settings
op <- par(no.readonly = TRUE)

##Analyse the Wenchuan dataset

# Here, we use 1e4 iterations, for an actual analysis please use at least
# 1e5 iterations.
fit = bgm(x = Wenchuan)

#-----|
# INCLUSION - EDGE WEIGHT PLOT
#-----|
```

```

par(mar = c(6, 5, 1, 1))
plot(x = fit$interactions[lower.tri(fit$interactions)],
      y = fit$gamma[lower.tri(fit$gamma)], ylim = c(0, 1),
      xlab = "", ylab = "", axes = FALSE, pch = 21, bg = "gray", cex = 1.3)
abline(h = 0, lty = 2, col = "gray")
abline(h = 1, lty = 2, col = "gray")
abline(h = .5, lty = 2, col = "gray")
mtext("Posterior Mode Edge Weight", side = 1, line = 3, cex = 1.7)
mtext("Posterior Inclusion Probability", side = 2, line = 3, cex = 1.7)
axis(1)
axis(2, las = 1)

#-----|
# EVIDENCE - EDGE WEIGHT PLOT
#-----|

#For the default choice of the structure prior, the prior odds equal one:
prior.odds = 1
posterior.inclusion = fit$gamma[lower.tri(fit$gamma)]
posterior.odds = posterior.inclusion / (1 - posterior.inclusion)
log.bayesfactor = log(posterior.odds / prior.odds)
log.bayesfactor[log.bayesfactor > 5] = 5

par(mar = c(5, 5, 1, 1) + 0.1)
plot(fit$interactions[lower.tri(fit$interactions)], log.bayesfactor, pch = 21, bg = "#bfbfbf",
      cex = 1.3, axes = FALSE, xlab = "", ylab = "", ylim = c(-5, 5.5),
      xlim = c(-0.5, 1.5))
axis(1)
axis(2, las = 1)
abline(h = log(1/10), lwd = 2, col = "#bfbfbf")
abline(h = log(10), lwd = 2, col = "#bfbfbf")

text(x = 1, y = log(1 / 10), labels = "Evidence for Exclusion", pos = 1,
      cex = 1.7)
text(x = 1, y = log(10), labels = "Evidence for Inclusion", pos = 3, cex = 1.7)
text(x = 1, y = 0, labels = "Absence of Evidence", cex = 1.7)
mtext("Log-Inclusion Bayes Factor", side = 2, line = 3, cex = 1.5, las = 0)
mtext("Posterior Mean Interactions ", side = 1, line = 3.7, cex = 1.5, las = 0)

#-----|
# THE MEDIAN PROBABILITY NETWORK
#-----|

tmp = fit$interactions[lower.tri(fit$interactions)]
tmp[posterior.inclusion < 0.5] = 0

median.prob.model = matrix(0, nrow = ncol(Wenchuan), ncol = ncol(Wenchuan))
median.prob.model[lower.tri(median.prob.model)] = tmp
median.prob.model = median.prob.model + t(median.prob.model)

```

```

rownames(median.prob.model) = colnames(Wenchuan)
colnames(median.prob.model) = colnames(Wenchuan)

library(qgraph)
qgraph(median.prob.model,
       theme = "TeamFortress",
       maximum = .5,
       fade = FALSE,
       color = c("#f0ae0e"), vsize = 10, repulsion = .9,
       label.cex = 1.1, label.scale = "FALSE",
       labels = colnames(Wenchuan))

#Restore user par() settings
par(op)

```

---

mrfSampler

*Sample states of the ordinal MRF*


---

### Description

This function samples states from the ordinal MRF using a Gibbs sampler. The Gibbs sampler is initiated with random values from the response options, after which it proceeds by simulating states for each variable from a logistic model using the other variable states as predictor variables.

### Usage

```

mrfSampler(
  no_states,
  no_variables,
  no_categories,
  interactions,
  thresholds,
  variable_type = "ordinal",
  reference_category,
  iter = 1000
)

```

### Arguments

<code>no_states</code>	The number of states of the ordinal MRF to be generated.
<code>no_variables</code>	The number of variables in the ordinal MRF.
<code>no_categories</code>	Either a positive integer or a vector of positive integers of length <code>no_variables</code> . The number of response categories on top of the base category: <code>no_categories = 1</code> generates binary states.
<code>interactions</code>	A symmetric <code>no_variables</code> by <code>no_variables</code> matrix of pairwise interactions. Only its off-diagonal elements are used.

thresholds	A <code>no_variables</code> by <code>max(no_categories)</code> matrix of category thresholds. The elements in row <code>i</code> indicate the thresholds of variable <code>i</code> . If <code>no_categories</code> is a vector, only the first <code>no_categories[i]</code> elements are used in row <code>i</code> . If the Blume-Capel model is used for the category thresholds for variable <code>i</code> , then row <code>i</code> requires two values (details below); the first is $\alpha$ , the linear contribution of the Blume-Capel model and the second is $\beta$ , the quadratic contribution.
variable_type	What kind of variables are simulated? Can be a single character string specifying the variable type of all <code>p</code> variables at once or a vector of character strings of length <code>p</code> specifying the type for each variable separately. Currently, <code>bgm</code> supports “ordinal” and “blume-capel”. Binary variables are automatically treated as “ordinal”. Defaults to <code>variable_type = "ordinal"</code> .
reference_category	An integer vector of length <code>no_variables</code> specifying the <code>reference_category</code> category that is used for the Blume-Capel model (details below). Can be any integer value between 0 and <code>no_categories</code> (or <code>no_categories[i]</code> ).
iter	The number of iterations used by the Gibbs sampler. The function provides the last state of the Gibbs sampler as output. By default set to <code>1e3</code> .

### Details

There are two modeling options for the category thresholds. The default option assumes that the category thresholds are free, except that the first threshold is set to zero for identification. The user then only needs to specify the thresholds for the remaining response categories. This option is useful for any type of ordinal variable and gives the user the most freedom in specifying their model.

The Blume-Capel option is specifically designed for ordinal variables that have a special type of `reference_category` category, such as the neutral category in a Likert scale. The Blume-Capel model specifies the following quadratic model for the threshold parameters:

$$\mu_c = \alpha \times c + \beta \times (c - r)^2,$$

where  $\mu_c$  is the threshold for category `c` (which now includes zero),  $\alpha$  offers a linear trend across categories (increasing threshold values if  $\alpha > 0$  and decreasing threshold values if  $\alpha < 0$ ), if  $\beta < 0$ , it offers an increasing penalty for responding in a category further away from the `reference_category` category `r`, while  $\beta > 0$  suggests a preference for responding in the `reference_category` category.

### Value

A `no_states` by `no_variables` matrix of simulated states of the ordinal MRF.

### Examples

```
# Generate responses from a network of five binary and ordinal variables.
no_variables = 5
no_categories = sample(1:5, size = no_variables, replace = TRUE)

Interactions = matrix(0, nrow = no_variables, ncol = no_variables)
Interactions[2, 1] = Interactions[4, 1] = Interactions[3, 2] =
  Interactions[5, 2] = Interactions[5, 4] = .25
```



```

Interactions = Interactions + t(Interactions)
Thresholds = matrix(0, nrow = no_variables, ncol = max(no_categories))

x = mrfSampler(no_states = 1e3,
              no_variables = no_variables,
              no_categories = no_categories,
              interactions = Interactions,
              thresholds = Thresholds)

# Generate responses from a network of 2 ordinal and 3 Blume-Capel variables.
no_variables = 5
no_categories = 4

Interactions = matrix(0, nrow = no_variables, ncol = no_variables)
Interactions[2, 1] = Interactions[4, 1] = Interactions[3, 2] =
  Interactions[5, 2] = Interactions[5, 4] = .25
Interactions = Interactions + t(Interactions)

Thresholds = matrix(NA, no_variables, no_categories)
Thresholds[, 1] = -1
Thresholds[, 2] = -1
Thresholds[3, ] = sort(-abs(rnorm(4)), decreasing = TRUE)
Thresholds[5, ] = sort(-abs(rnorm(4)), decreasing = TRUE)

x = mrfSampler(no_states = 1e3,
              no_variables = no_variables,
              no_categories = no_categories,
              interactions = Interactions,
              thresholds = Thresholds,
              variable_type = c("b", "b", "o", "b", "o"),
              reference_category = 2)

```

---

print.bgms

---

*Print method for bgms objects*


---

## Description

Used to prevent bgms output cluttering the console.

## Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'bgms'
print(x, ...)
```

## Arguments

x	An object of class bgms.
...	Ignored.

---

Wenchuan	<i>Post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms of Wenchuan earthquake survivors</i>
----------	---

---

### Description

A data set containing items measuring symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (McNally et al. 2015). Participants were 362 Chinese adults who survived the Wenchuan earthquake and lost at least one child in the disaster. PTSD symptoms were reported using the civilian version of the Posttraumatic Checklist, which consists of 17 items, each assessing one of the DSM-IV symptoms of PTSD. Participants rated each item on a five-point scale ranging from “not at all” to “extremely” to indicate how much the symptom bothered them in the past month.

### Usage

```
data("Wenchuan")
```

### Format

A matrix with 362 rows and 17 columns:

**intrusion** Repeated, disturbing memories, thoughts, or images of a stressful experience from the past?

**dreams** Repeated, disturbing dreams of a stressful experience from the past?

**flash** Suddenly acting or feeling as if a stressful experience were happening again (as if you were reliving it)?

**upset** Feeling very upset when something reminded you of a stressful experience from the past?

**physior** Having physical reactions (e.g., heart pounding, trouble breathing, sweating) when something reminded you of a stressful experience from the past?

**avoidth** Avoiding thinking about or talking about a stressful experience from the past or avoiding having feelings related to it?

**avoidact** Avoiding activities or situations because they reminded you of a stressful experience from the past?

**amnesia** Trouble remembering important parts of a stressful experience from the past?

**lossint** Loss of interest in activities that you used to enjoy?

**distant** Feeling distant or cut off from other people?

**numb** Feeling emotionally numb or being unable to have loving feelings for those close to you?

**future** Feeling as if your future will somehow be cut short?

**sleep** Trouble falling or staying asleep?

**anger** Feeling irritable or having angry outbursts?

**concen** Having difficulty concentrating?

**hyper** Being "super-alert" or watchful or on guard?

**startle** Feeling jumpy or easily startled?

**Source**

<http://psycho systems.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Wenchuan.csv>

**References**

McNally RJ, Robinaugh DJ, Wu GWY, Wang L, Deserno MK, Borsboom D (2015). “Mental disorders as causal systems: A network approach to posttraumatic stress disorder.” *Clinical Psychological Science*, 5(6), 836–849. doi:10.1177/2167702614553230.

# Index

\* **datasets**

Wenchuan, [10](#)

bgm, [2](#)

mrfSampler, [7](#)

print.bgms, [9](#)

Wenchuan, [10](#)