

---

Stream: Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)  
RFC: [8551](#)  
Obsoletes: [5751](#)  
Category: Standards Track  
Published: January 2019  
ISSN: 2070-1721  
Authors: J. Schaad      B. Ramsdell      S. Turner  
*August Cellars      Brute Squad Labs, Inc.      sn3rd*

---

# Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 4.0 Message Specification

---

## Abstract

This document defines Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions "(S/MIME)" (LEFT PARENTHESIS, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, RIGHT PARENTHESIS, U+0028 U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045 U+0029) version 4.0. "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) provides a consistent way to send and receive secure MIME data. Digital signatures provide authentication, message integrity, and non-repudiation with proof of origin. Encryption provides data confidentiality. Compression can be used to reduce data size. This document obsoletes RFC 5751.

## Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8551>.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

# Table of Contents

1. Introduction
  - 1.1. Specification Overview
  - 1.2. Definitions
  - 1.3. Conventions Used in This Document
  - 1.4. Compatibility with Prior Practice of S/MIME
  - 1.5. Changes from S/MIME v3 to S/MIME v3.1
  - 1.6. Changes from S/MIME v3.1 to S/MIME v3.2
  - 1.7. Changes for S/MIME v4.0
2. CMS Options
  - 2.1. DigestAlgorithmIdentifier
  - 2.2. SignatureAlgorithmIdentifier
  - 2.3. KeyEncryptionAlgorithmIdentifier
  - 2.4. General Syntax
    - 2.4.1. Data Content Type
    - 2.4.2. SignedData Content Type
    - 2.4.3. EnvelopedData Content Type
    - 2.4.4. AuthEnvelopedData Content Type
    - 2.4.5. CompressedData Content Type
  - 2.5. Attributes and the SignerInfo Type
    - 2.5.1. Signing Time Attribute
    - 2.5.2. SMIMECapabilities Attribute
    - 2.5.3. Encryption Key Preference Attribute
  - 2.6. SignerIdentifier SignerInfo Type

- 2.7. ContentEncryptionAlgorithmIdentifier
  - 2.7.1. Deciding Which Encryption Method to Use
  - 2.7.2. Choosing Weak Encryption
  - 2.7.3. Multiple Recipients
- 3. Creating S/MIME Messages
  - 3.1. Preparing the MIME Entity for Signing, Enveloping, or Compressing
    - 3.1.1. Canonicalization
    - 3.1.2. Transfer Encoding
    - 3.1.3. Transfer Encoding for Signing Using multipart/signed
    - 3.1.4. Sample Canonical MIME Entity
  - 3.2. The application/pkcs7-mime Media Type
    - 3.2.1. The name and filename Parameters
    - 3.2.2. The smime-type Parameter
  - 3.3. Creating an Enveloped-Only Message
  - 3.4. Creating an Authenticated Enveloped-Only Message
  - 3.5. Creating a Signed-Only Message
    - 3.5.1. Choosing a Format for Signed-Only Messages
    - 3.5.2. Signing Using application/pkcs7-mime with SignedData
    - 3.5.3. Signing Using the multipart/signed Format
  - 3.6. Creating a Compressed-Only Message
  - 3.7. Multiple Operations
  - 3.8. Creating a Certificate Management Message
  - 3.9. Registration Requests
  - 3.10. Identifying an S/MIME Message

## 4. Certificate Processing

### 4.1. Key Pair Generation

### 4.2. Signature Generation

### 4.3. Signature Verification

### 4.4. Encryption

### 4.5. Decryption

## 5. IANA Considerations

### 5.1. Media Type for application/pkcs7-mime

### 5.2. Media Type for application/pkcs7-signature

### 5.3. authEnveloped-data smime-type

### 5.4. Reference Updates

## 6. Security Considerations

## 7. References

### 7.1. Normative References

### 7.2. Informative References

## Appendix A. ASN.1 Module

## Appendix B. Historic Mail Considerations

### B.1. DigestAlgorithmIdentifier

### B.2. Signature Algorithms

### B.3. ContentEncryptionAlgorithmIdentifier

### B.4. KeyEncryptionAlgorithmIdentifier

## Appendix C. Moving S/MIME v2 Message Specification to Historic Status

### Acknowledgements

### Authors' Addresses

## 1. Introduction

"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) (Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) provides a consistent way to send and receive secure MIME data. Based on the popular Internet MIME standard, "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) provides the following cryptographic security services for electronic messaging applications: authentication, message integrity, and non-repudiation of origin (using digital signatures), and data confidentiality (using encryption). As a supplementary service, "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) provides message compression.

"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) can be used by traditional mail user agents (MUAs) to add cryptographic security services to mail that is sent, and to interpret cryptographic security services in mail that is received. However, "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) is not restricted to mail; it can be used with any transport mechanism that transports MIME data, such as HTTP or SIP. As such, "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) takes advantage of the object-based features of MIME and allows secure messages to be exchanged in mixed-transport systems.

Further, "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) can be used in automated message transfer agents that use cryptographic security services that do not require any human intervention, such as the signing of software-generated documents and the encryption of FAX messages sent over the Internet.

This document defines version 4.0 of the S/MIME Message Specification. As such, this document obsoletes version 3.2 of the S/MIME Message Specification [[RFC5751](#)].

This specification contains a number of references to documents that have been obsoleted or replaced. This is intentional, as the updated documents often do not have the same information or protocol requirements in them.

## 1.1. Specification Overview

This document describes a protocol for adding cryptographic signature and encryption services to MIME data. The MIME standard [[MIME-SPEC](#)] provides a general structure for the content of Internet messages and allows extensions for new applications based on content-type.

This specification defines how to create a MIME body part that has been cryptographically enhanced according to the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS) [[CMS](#)], which is derived from PKCS #7 [[RFC2315](#)]"application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) media type, which can be used to transport those body parts. . This specification also defines the

This document also discusses how to use the "multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) media type defined in [[RFC1847](#)]"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045)"multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL

LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064)"application/pkcs7-signature" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0061 U+0074 U+0075 U+0072 U+0065)"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) signature. media type, which is used to transport a detached is used in conjunction with the signed messages. to transport

In order to create "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) messages, an "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) agent MUST follow the specifications in this document, as well as the specifications listed in [CMS], [RFC3370], [RFC4056], [RFC3560], and [RFC5754].

Throughout this specification, there are requirements and recommendations made for how receiving agents handle incoming messages. There are separate requirements and recommendations for how sending agents create outgoing messages. In general, the best strategy is to follow the Robustness Principle (be liberal in what you receive and conservative in what you send). Most of the requirements are placed on the handling of incoming messages, while the recommendations are mostly on the creation of outgoing messages.



The separation for requirements on receiving agents and sending agents also derives from the likelihood that there will be "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) systems that involve software other than traditional Internet mail clients. "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) can be used with any system that transports MIME data. An automated process that sends an encrypted message might not be able to receive an encrypted message at all, for example. Thus, the requirements and recommendations for the two types of agents are listed separately when appropriate.

## 1.2. Definitions

For the purposes of this specification, the following definitions apply.

ASN.1:

Abstract Syntax Notation One, as defined in ITU-T Recommendations X.680, X.681, X.682, and X.683 [[ASN.1](#)].

BER:

Basic Encoding Rules for ASN.1, as defined in ITU-T Recommendation X.690 [[X.690](#)].

Certificate:

A type that binds an entity's name to a public key with a digital signature.

DER:

Distinguished Encoding Rules for ASN.1, as defined in ITU-T Recommendation X.690 [[X.690](#)].

7-bit data:

Text data with lines less than 998 characters long, where none of the characters have the 8th bit set, and there are no NULL characters. <CR> and <LF> occur only as part of a <CR><LF> end-of-line delimiter.

8-bit data:

Text data with lines less than 998 characters, and where none of the characters are NULL characters. <CR> and <LF> occur only as part of a <CR><LF> end-of-line delimiter.

Binary data:

Arbitrary data.

**Transfer encoding:**

A reversible transformation made on data so 8-bit or binary data can be sent via a channel that only transmits 7-bit data.

**Receiving agent:**

Software that interprets and processes "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) CMS objects, MIME body parts that contain CMS content types, or both.

**Sending agent:**

Software that creates "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) CMS content types, MIME body parts that contain CMS content types, or both.

**S/MIME agent:**

User software that is a receiving agent, a sending agent, or both.

**Data integrity service:**

A security service that protects against unauthorized changes to data by ensuring that changes to the data are detectable [[RFC4949](#)].

**Data confidentiality:**

The property that data is not disclosed to system entities unless they have been authorized to know the data [[RFC4949](#)].

### **1.3. Conventions Used in This Document**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

We define the additional requirement levels:

**SHOULD+** This term means the same as **SHOULD**. However, the authors expect that a requirement marked as **SHOULD+** will be promoted at some future time to be a **MUST**.

**SHOULD-** This term means the same as **SHOULD**. However, the authors expect that a requirement marked as **SHOULD-** will be demoted to a **MAY** in a future version of this document.

**MUST-** This term means the same as **MUST**. However, the authors expect that this requirement will no longer be a **MUST** in a future document. Although its status will be determined at a later time, it is reasonable to expect that if a future revision of a document alters the status of a **MUST-** requirement, it will remain at least a **SHOULD** or a **SHOULD-**.

The term "RSA" in this document almost always refers to the PKCS #1 v1.5 RSA [[RFC2313](#)] signature or encryption algorithms even when not qualified as such. There are a couple of places where it refers to the general RSA cryptographic operation; these can be determined from the context where it is used.

## 1.4. Compatibility with Prior Practice of S/MIME

"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) version 4.0 agents ought to attempt to have the greatest interoperability possible with agents for prior versions of "S/MIME." (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, FULL STOP, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045 U+002E)

- "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) version 2 is described in RFC 2311 through RFC 2315 inclusive [[SMIMEv2](#)].
- "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) version 3 is described in RFC 2630 through RFC 2634 inclusive and RFC 5035 [[SMIMEv3](#)].
- "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) version 3.1 is described in RFC 3850, RFC 3851, RFC 3852, RFC 2634, and RFC 5035 [[SMIMEv3.1](#)].

- "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) version 3.2 is described in RFC 2634, RFC 5750, RFC 5751, RFC 5652, and RFC 5035 [[SMIMEv3.2](#)].
- [[RFC2311](#)]"S/MIME." (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, FULL STOP, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045 U+002E) also has historical information about the development of

### 1.5. Changes from S/MIME v3 to S/MIME v3.1

This section describes the changes made between S/MIME v3 and S/MIME v3.1. Note that the requirement levels indicated by the capitalized key words ("MUST", "SHOULD", etc.) may have changed in later versions of S/MIME.

- The RSA public key algorithm was changed to a MUST implement. The key wrap algorithm and the Diffie-Hellman (DH) algorithm [[RFC2631](#)] were changed to a SHOULD implement.
- The AES symmetric encryption algorithm has been included as a SHOULD implement.
- The RSA public key algorithm was changed to a MUST implement signature algorithm.
- Ambiguous language about the use of "empty" SignedData messages to transmit certificates was clarified to reflect that transmission of Certificate Revocation Lists is also allowed.
- The use of binary encoding for some MIME entities is now explicitly discussed.
- Header protection through the use of the message/rfc822 media type has been added.
- Use of the CompressedData CMS type is allowed, along with required media type and file extension additions.

### 1.6. Changes from S/MIME v3.1 to S/MIME v3.2

This section describes the changes made between S/MIME v3.1 and S/MIME v3.2. Note that the requirement levels indicated by the capitalized key words ("MUST", "SHOULD", etc.) may have changed in later versions of S/MIME. Note that the section numbers listed here (e.g., 3.4.3.2) are from [[RFC5751](#)].

- Made editorial changes, e.g., replaced "MIME type" with "media type", "content-type" with "Content-Type".
- Moved "Conventions Used in This Document" to [Section 1.3](#). Added definitions for SHOULD+, SHOULD-, and MUST-.

- [Section 1.1](#) and [Appendix A](#): Added references to RFCs for RSASSA-PSS, RSAES-OAEP, and SHA2 CMS algorithms. Added CMS Multiple Signers Clarification to CMS reference.
- [Section 1.2](#): Updated references to ASN.1 to X.680, and BER and DER to X.690.
- [Section 1.4](#) "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v3.1 RFCs. : Added references to
- [Section 2.1](#) (digest algorithm): SHA-256 added as MUST, SHA-1 and MD5 made SHOULD-.
- [Section 2.2](#) "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v3.1 clients support. (signature algorithms): RSA with SHA-256 added as MUST; DSA with SHA-256 added as SHOULD+; RSA with SHA-1, DSA with SHA-1, and RSA with MD5 changed to SHOULD-; and RSASSA-PSS with SHA-256 added as SHOULD+. Also added note about what
- [Section 2.3](#) (key encryption): DH changed to SHOULD-, and RSAES-OAEP added as SHOULD +. Elaborated on requirements for key wrap algorithm.
- [Section 2.5.1](#): Added requirement that receiving agents MUST support both GeneralizedTime and UTCTime.
- [Section 2.5.2](#): Replaced reference "sha1WithRSAEncryption" with "sha256WithRSAEncryption", replaced "DES-3EDE-CBC" with "AES-128 CBC", and deleted the RC5 example.
- [Section 2.5.2.1](#): Deleted entire section (discussed deprecated RC2).
- [Section 2.7](#), [Section 2.7.1](#), and [Appendix A](#): References to RC2/40 removed.
- [Section 2.7](#) (content encryption): AES-128 CBC added as MUST, AES-192 and AES-256 CBC SHOULD+, and tripleDES now SHOULD-.
- [Section 2.7.1](#): Updated pointers from 2.7.2.1 through 2.7.2.4 to [2.7.1.1](#) and [2.7.1.2](#).
- [Section 3.1.1](#): Removed text about MIME character sets.
- [Sections 3.2.2](#) and [3.6](#): Replaced "encrypted" with "enveloped". Updated OID example to use AES-128 CBC OID.
- 
- [Section 4](#): Updated reference to CERT v3.2.
- [Section 4.1](#): Updated RSA and DSA key size discussion. Moved last four sentences to security considerations. Updated reference to randomness requirements for security.
- [Section 5](#): Added IANA registration templates to update media type registry to point to this document as opposed to RFC 2311.

- [Section 6](#): Updated security considerations.
- Section 7: Moved references from [Appendix B](#) to this section. Updated references. Added informative references to SMIMEv2, SMIMEv3, and SMIMEv3.1.
- [Appendix B](#): Added [Appendix B](#)"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v2 to Historic status. to move

## 1.7. Changes for S/MIME v4.0

This section describes the changes made between S/MIME v3.2 and S/MIME v4.0.

- Added the use of AuthEnvelopedData, including defining and registering an smime-type value (Sections [2.4.4](#) and [3.4](#)).
- Updated the content-encryption algorithms (Sections [2.7](#) and [2.7.1.2](#)): added AES-256 Galois/Counter Mode (GCM), added ChaCha20-Poly1305, removed mention of AES-192 Cipher Block Chaining (CBC), and marked tripleDES as historic.
- Updated the set of signature algorithms ([Section 2.2](#)): added the Edwards-curve Digital Signature Algorithm (EdDSA), added the Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA), and marked DSA as historic.
- Updated the set of digest algorithms ([Section 2.1](#)): added SHA-512, and marked SHA-1 as historic.
- Updated the size of keys to be used for RSA encryption and RSA signing ([Section 4](#)).
- Created [Appendix B](#), which discusses considerations for dealing with historic email messages.

## 2. CMS Options

CMS allows for a wide variety of options in content, attributes, and algorithm support. This section puts forth a number of support requirements and recommendations in order to achieve a base level of interoperability among all "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) implementations. [[RFC3370](#)] and [[RFC5754](#)] provide additional details regarding the use of the cryptographic algorithms. [[ESS](#)] provides additional details regarding the use of additional attributes.

## 2.1. DigestAlgorithmIdentifier

The algorithms here are used for digesting the body of the message and are not the same as the digest algorithms used as part of the signature algorithms. The result of this is placed in the message-digest attribute of the signed attributes. It is RECOMMENDED that the algorithm used for digesting the body of the message be of similar strength to, or greater strength than, the signature algorithm.

Sending and receiving agents:

- MUST support SHA-256.
- MUST support SHA-512.

[[RFC5754](#)] "S/MIME." (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, FULL STOP, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045 U+002E) provides the details for using these algorithms with

## 2.2. SignatureAlgorithmIdentifier

There are different sets of requirements placed on receiving and sending agents. By having the different requirements, the maximum amount of interoperability is achieved, as it allows for specialized protection of private key material but maximum signature validation.

Receiving agents:

- MUST support ECDSA with curve P-256 and SHA-256.
- MUST support EdDSA with curve25519 using PureEdDSA mode [[RFC8419](#)].
- MUST- support RSA PKCS #1 v1.5 with SHA-256.
- SHOULD support the RSA Probabilistic Signature Scheme (RSASSA-PSS) with SHA-256.

Sending agents:

- MUST support at least one of the following algorithms: ECDSA with curve P-256 and SHA-256, or EdDSA with curve25519 using PureEdDSA mode.
- MUST- support RSA PKCS #1 v1.5 with SHA-256.
- SHOULD support RSASSA-PSS with SHA-256.

See [Section 4.1](#) for information on key size and algorithm references.

## 2.3. KeyEncryptionAlgorithmIdentifier

Receiving and sending agents:

- MUST support Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDH) ephemeral-static mode for P-256, as specified in [RFC5753].
- MUST support ECDH ephemeral-static mode for X25519 using HKDF-256 ("HKDF" stands for "HMAC-based Key Derivation Function") for the KDF, as specified in [RFC8418].
- MUST- support RSA encryption, as specified in [RFC3370].
- SHOULD+ support RSA Encryption Scheme - Optimal Asymmetric Encryption Padding (RSAES-OAEP), as specified in [RFC3560].

When ECDH ephemeral-static is used, a key wrap algorithm is also specified in the KeyEncryptionAlgorithmIdentifier [RFC5652]. The underlying encryption functions for the key wrap and content-encryption algorithms [RFC3370] [RFC3565] and the key sizes for the two algorithms MUST be the same (e.g., AES-128 key wrap algorithm with AES-128 content-encryption algorithm). As both 128-bit and 256-bit AES modes are mandatory to implement as content-encryption algorithms (Section 2.7), both the AES-128 and AES-256 key wrap algorithms MUST be supported when ECDH ephemeral-static is used. Recipients MAY enforce this but MUST use the weaker of the two as part of any cryptographic strength computations they might do.

Appendix B provides information on algorithm support in older versions of S/MIME.

## 2.4. General Syntax

There are several CMS content types. Of these, only the Data, SignedData, EnvelopedData, AuthEnvelopedData, and CompressedData content types are currently used for "S/MIME." (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, FULL STOP, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045 U+002E)

### 2.4.1. Data Content Type

Sending agents MUST use the id-data content type identifier to identify the "inner" MIME message content. For example, when applying a digital signature to MIME data, the CMS SignedData encapContentInfo eContentType MUST include the id-data object identifier (OID), and the media type MUST be stored in the SignedData encapContentInfo eContent OCTET STRING (unless the sending agent is using "multipart/signed," (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL



LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, COMMA, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0072 U+0061 U+0077 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064 U+002C) in which case the eContent is absent, per [Section 3.5.3](#) of this document). As another example, when applying encryption to MIME data, the CMS EnvelopedData encryptedContentInfo contentType MUST include the id-data OID and the encrypted MIME content MUST be stored in the EnvelopedData encryptedContentInfo encryptedContent OCTET STRING.

#### **2.4.2. SignedData Content Type**

Sending agents MUST use the SignedData content type to apply a digital signature to a message or, in a degenerate case where there is no signature information, to convey certificates. Applying a signature to a message provides authentication, message integrity, and non-repudiation of origin.

#### **2.4.3. EnvelopedData Content Type**

This content type is used to apply data confidentiality to a message. In order to distribute the symmetric key, a sender needs to have access to a public key for each intended message recipient to use this service.

#### **2.4.4. AuthEnvelopedData Content Type**

This content type is used to apply data confidentiality and message integrity to a message. This content type does not provide authentication or non-repudiation. In order to distribute the symmetric key, a sender needs to have access to a public key for each intended message recipient to use this service.

#### **2.4.5. CompressedData Content Type**

This content type is used to apply data compression to a message. This content type does not provide authentication, message integrity, non-repudiation, or data confidentiality; it is only used to reduce the message's size.

See [Section 3.7](#) for further guidance on the use of this type in conjunction with other CMS types.

## 2.5. Attributes and the SignerInfo Type

The SignerInfo type allows the inclusion of unsigned and signed attributes along with a signature. These attributes can be required for the processing of messages (e.g., message digest), information the signer supplied (e.g., SMIME capabilities) that should be processed, or attributes that are not relevant to the current situation (e.g., mlExpansionHistory [RFC2634] for mail viewers).

Receiving agents MUST be able to handle zero or one instance of each of the signed attributes listed here. Sending agents SHOULD generate one instance of each of the following signed attributes in each "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) message:

- Signing time (Section 2.5.1 in this document)
- SMIME capabilities (Section 2.5.2 in this document)
- Encryption key Preference (Section 2.5.3 in this document)
- Message digest (Section 11.2 in [RFC5652])
- Content type (Section 11.1 in [RFC5652])

Further, receiving agents SHOULD be able to handle zero or one instance of the signingCertificate and signingCertificateV2 signed attributes, as defined in Section 5 of RFC 2634 [ESS] and Section 3 of RFC 5035 [ESS], respectively.

Sending agents SHOULD generate one instance of the signingCertificate or signingCertificateV2 signed attribute in each SignerInfo structure.

Additional attributes and values for these attributes might be defined in the future. Receiving agents SHOULD handle attributes or values that they do not recognize in a graceful manner.

Interactive sending agents that include signed attributes that are not listed here SHOULD display those attributes to the user, so that the user is aware of all of the data being signed.

### 2.5.1. Signing Time Attribute

The signingTime attribute is used to convey the time that a message was signed. The time of signing will most likely be created by a signer and therefore is only as trustworthy as that signer.

Sending agents MUST encode signing time through the year 2049 as UTCTime; signing times in 2050 or later MUST be encoded as GeneralizedTime. When the UTCTime CHOICE is used, "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN

CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) agents MUST interpret the year field (YY) as follows:

- If YY is greater than or equal to 50, the year is interpreted as 19YY; if YY is less than 50, the year is interpreted as 20YY.

Receiving agents MUST be able to process signingTime attributes that are encoded in either UTCTime or GeneralizedTime.

### 2.5.2. SMIMECapabilities Attribute

The SMIMECapabilities attribute includes signature algorithms (such as "sha256WithRSAEncryption"), symmetric algorithms (such as "AES-128 CBC"), authenticated symmetric algorithms (such as "AES-128 GCM"), and key encipherment algorithms (such as "rsaEncryption"). The presence of an SMIMECapability attribute containing an algorithm implies that the sender can deal with the algorithm as well as understand the ASN.1 structures associated with that algorithm. There are also several identifiers that indicate support for other optional features such as binary encoding and compression. The SMIMECapabilities attribute was designed to be flexible and extensible so that, in the future, a means of identifying other capabilities and preferences such as certificates can be added in a way that will not cause current clients to break.

If present, the SMIMECapabilities attribute MUST be a SignedAttribute. CMS defines SignedAttributes as a SET OF Attribute. The SignedAttributes in a signerInfo MUST include a single instance of the SMIMECapabilities attribute. CMS defines the ASN.1 syntax for Attribute to include attrValues SET OF AttributeValue. An SMIMECapabilities attribute MUST only include a single instance of AttributeValue. If a signature is detected as violating these requirements, the signature SHOULD be treated as failing.

The semantics of the SMIMECapabilities attribute specify a partial list as to what the client announcing the SMIMECapabilities can support. A client does not have to list every capability it supports, and it need not list all its capabilities so that the capabilities list doesn't get too long. In an SMIMECapabilities attribute, the OIDs are listed in order of their preference but SHOULD be separated logically along the lines of their categories (signature algorithms, symmetric algorithms, key encipherment algorithms, etc.).

The structure of the SMIMECapabilities attribute is to facilitate simple table lookups and binary comparisons in order to determine matches. For instance, the encoding for the SMIMECapability for sha256WithRSAEncryption includes rather than omits the NULL parameter. Because of the

requirement for identical encoding, individuals documenting algorithms to be used in the SMIMECapabilities attribute SHOULD explicitly document the correct byte sequence for the common cases.

For any capability, the associated parameters for the OID MUST specify all of the parameters necessary to differentiate between two instances of the same algorithm.

The same OID that is used to identify an algorithm SHOULD also be used in the SMIMECapability for that algorithm. There are cases where a single OID can correspond to multiple algorithms. In these cases, a single algorithm MUST be assigned to the SMIMECapability using that OID. Additional OIDs from the smimeCapabilities OID tree are then allocated for the other algorithms usages.

The registered SMIMECapabilities list specifies the parameters for OIDs that need them, most notably key lengths in the case of variable-length symmetric ciphers. In the event that there are no differentiating parameters for a particular OID, the parameters MUST be omitted and MUST NOT be encoded as NULL. Additional values for the SMIMECapabilities attribute might be defined in the future. Receiving agents MUST handle an SMIMECapabilities object that has values that it does not recognize in a graceful manner.

[Section 2.7.1](#) explains a strategy for caching capabilities.

### **2.5.3. Encryption Key Preference Attribute**

The encryption key preference attribute allows the signer to unambiguously describe which of the signer's certificates has the signer's preferred encryption key. This attribute is designed to enhance behavior for interoperating with those clients that use separate keys for encryption and signing. This attribute is used to convey to anyone viewing the attribute which of the listed certificates is appropriate for encrypting a session key for future encrypted messages.

If present, the SMIMEEncryptionKeyPreference attribute MUST be a SignedAttribute. CMS defines SignedAttributes as a SET OF Attribute. The SignedAttributes in a signerInfo MUST include a single instance of the SMIMEEncryptionKeyPreference attribute. CMS defines the ASN.1 syntax for Attribute to include attrValues SET OF AttributeValue. An SMIMEEncryptionKeyPreference attribute MUST only include a single instance of AttributeValue. If a signature is detected as violating these requirements, the signature SHOULD be treated as failing.

The sending agent SHOULD include the referenced certificate in the set of certificates included in the signed message if this attribute is used. The certificate MAY be omitted if it has been previously made available to the receiving agent. Sending agents SHOULD use this attribute if the commonly used or preferred encryption certificate is not the same as the certificate used to sign the message.

Receiving agents SHOULD store the preference data if the signature on the message is valid and the signing time is greater than the currently stored value. (As with the SMIMECapabilities, the clock skew SHOULD be checked and the data not used if the skew is too great.) Receiving agents SHOULD respect the sender's encryption key preference attribute if possible. This, however, represents only a preference, and the receiving agent can use any certificate in replying to the sender that is valid.

[Section 2.7.1](#) explains a strategy for caching preference data.

#### **2.5.3.1. Selection of Recipient Key Management Certificate**

In order to determine the key management certificate to be used when sending a future CMS EnvelopedData message for a particular recipient, the following steps SHOULD be followed:

- If an SMIMEEncryptionKeyPreference attribute is found in a SignedData object received from the desired recipient, this identifies the X.509 certificate that SHOULD be used as the X.509 key management certificate for the recipient.
- If an SMIMEEncryptionKeyPreference attribute is not found in a SignedData object received from the desired recipient, the set of X.509 certificates SHOULD be searched for an X.509 certificate with the same subject name as the signer of an X.509 certificate that can be used for key management.
- Or, use some other method of determining the user's key management key. If an X.509 key management certificate is not found, then encryption cannot be done with the signer of the message. If multiple X.509 key management certificates are found, the "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) agent can make an arbitrary choice between them.

## **2.6. SignerIdentifier SignerInfo Type**

"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v4.0 implementations MUST support both issuerAndSerialNumber and subjectKeyIdentifier. Messages that use the subjectKeyIdentifier choice cannot be read by "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v2 clients.

It is important to understand that some certificates use a value for `subjectKeyIdentifier` that is not suitable for uniquely identifying a certificate. Implementations **MUST** be prepared for multiple certificates for potentially different entities to have the same value for `subjectKeyIdentifier` and **MUST** be prepared to try each matching certificate during signature verification before indicating an error condition.

## 2.7. ContentEncryptionAlgorithmIdentifier

Sending and receiving agents:

- **MUST** support encryption and decryption with AES-128 GCM and AES-256 GCM [RFC5084].
- **MUST-** support encryption and decryption with AES-128 CBC [RFC3565].
- **SHOULD+** support encryption and decryption with ChaCha20-Poly1305 [RFC7905].

### 2.7.1. Deciding Which Encryption Method to Use

When a sending agent creates an encrypted message, it has to decide which type of encryption to use. The decision process involves using information garnered from the capabilities lists included in messages received from the recipient, as well as out-of-band information such as private agreements, user preferences, legal restrictions, and so on.

[Section 2.5.2](#) defines a method by which a sending agent can optionally announce, among other things, its decrypting capabilities in its order of preference. The following method for processing and remembering the encryption capabilities attribute in incoming signed messages **SHOULD** be used.

- If the receiving agent has not yet created a list of capabilities for the sender's public key, then, after verifying the signature on the incoming message and checking the timestamp, the receiving agent **SHOULD** create a new list containing at least the signing time and the symmetric capabilities.
- If such a list already exists, the receiving agent **SHOULD** verify that the signing time in the incoming message is greater than the signing time stored in the list and that the signature is valid. If so, the receiving agent **SHOULD** update both the signing time and capabilities in the list. Values of the signing time that lie far in the future (that is, a greater discrepancy than any reasonable clock skew), or a capabilities list in messages whose signature could not be verified, **MUST NOT** be accepted.

The list of capabilities **SHOULD** be stored for future use in creating messages.

Before sending a message, the sending agent **MUST** decide whether it is willing to use weak encryption for the particular data in the message. If the sending agent decides that weak encryption is unacceptable for this data, then the sending agent **MUST NOT** use a weak algorithm. The decision to use or not use weak encryption overrides any other decision in this section about which encryption algorithm to use.

Sections 2.7.1.1 and 2.7.1.2 describe the decisions a sending agent **SHOULD** use when choosing which type of encryption will be applied to a message. These rules are ordered, so the sending agent **SHOULD** make its decision in the order given.

#### **2.7.1.1. Rule 1: Known Capabilities**

If the sending agent has received a set of capabilities from the recipient for the message the agent is about to encrypt, then the sending agent **SHOULD** use that information by selecting the first capability in the list (that is, the capability most preferred by the intended recipient) that the sending agent knows how to encrypt. The sending agent **SHOULD** use one of the capabilities in the list if the agent reasonably expects the recipient to be able to decrypt the message.

#### **2.7.1.2. Rule 2: Unknown Capabilities, Unknown Version of S/MIME**

If the following two conditions are met, the sending agent **SHOULD** use AES-256 GCM, as AES-256 GCM is a stronger algorithm and is required by S/MIME v4.0:

- The sending agent has no knowledge of the encryption capabilities of the recipient.
- The sending agent has no knowledge of the version of "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) used or supported by the recipient.

If the sending agent chooses not to use AES-256 GCM in this step, given the presumption is that a client implementing AES-GCM would do both AES-256 and AES-128, it **SHOULD** use AES-128 CBC.

#### **2.7.2. Choosing Weak Encryption**

Algorithms such as RC2 are considered to be weak encryption algorithms. Algorithms such as TripleDES are not state of the art and are considered to be weaker algorithms than AES. A sending agent that is controlled by a human **SHOULD** allow a human sender to determine the risks of sending data using a weaker encryption algorithm before sending the data, and possibly allow the human to use a stronger encryption algorithm such as AES GCM or AES CBC even if there is a possibility that the recipient will not be able to process that algorithm.

### 2.7.3. Multiple Recipients

If a sending agent is composing an encrypted message to a group of recipients where the encryption capabilities of some of the recipients do not overlap, the sending agent is forced to send more than one message. Please note that if the sending agent chooses to send a message encrypted with a strong algorithm and then send the same message encrypted with a weak algorithm, someone watching the communications channel could learn the contents of the strongly encrypted message simply by decrypting the weakly encrypted message.

## 3. Creating S/MIME Messages

This section describes the "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) message formats and how they are created. "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) messages are a combination of MIME bodies and CMS content types. Several media types as well as several CMS content types are used. The data to be secured is always a canonical MIME entity. The MIME entity and other data, such as certificates and algorithm identifiers, are given to CMS processing facilities that produce a CMS object. Finally, the CMS object is wrapped in MIME. The "Enhanced Security Services for "S/MIME"" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, QUOTATION MARK, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045 U+0022) documents [ESS]"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045)"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045)"multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064)"application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL



LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) for the signatures. and message is formatted using messages are formatted. ESS provides a description of how a triple-wrapped provide descriptions of how nested, secured

"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) provides one format for enveloped-only data, several formats for signed-only data, and several formats for signed and enveloped data. Several formats are required to accommodate several environments -- in particular, for signed messages. The criteria for choosing among these formats are also described.

Anyone reading this section is expected to understand MIME as described in [[MIME-SPEC](#)] and [[RFC1847](#)].

### 3.1. Preparing the MIME Entity for Signing, Enveloping, or Compressing

"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) is used to secure MIME entities. A MIME message is composed of a MIME header and a MIME body. A body can consist of a single MIME entity or a tree of MIME entities (rooted with a multipart). S/MIME can be used to secure either a single MIME entity or a tree of MIME entities. These entities can be in locations other than the root. S/MIME can be applied multiple times to different entities in a single message. "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) can also be used to secure MIME entities used in applications other than Internet mail. For cases where protection of the rfc822 header is required, the use of the message/rfc822 media type is explained later in this section. A MIME entity that is the whole message includes only the MIME message headers and MIME body and does not include the rfc822 header. Note that

The MIME entity that is secured and described in this section can be thought of as the "inside" MIME entity. That is, it is the "innermost" object in what is possibly a larger MIME message. Processing "outside" MIME entities into CMS content types is described in [Section 3.2](#), [Section 3.5](#), and elsewhere.

The procedure for preparing a MIME entity is given in [\[MIME-SPEC\]](#). The same procedure is used here with some additional restrictions when signing. The description of the procedures from [\[MIME-SPEC\]](#) is repeated here, but it is suggested that the reader refer to those documents for the exact procedures. This section also describes additional requirements.

A single procedure is used for creating MIME entities that are to have any combination of signing, enveloping, and compressing applied. Some additional steps are recommended to defend against known corruptions that can occur during mail transport that are of particular importance for clear-signing using the "multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) format. It is recommended that these additional steps be performed on enveloped messages, or signed and enveloped messages, so that the messages can be forwarded to any environment without modification.

These steps are descriptive rather than prescriptive. The implementer is free to use any procedure as long as the result is the same.

- Step 1. The MIME entity is prepared according to local conventions.
- Step 2. The leaf parts of the MIME entity are converted to canonical form.
- Step 3. Appropriate transfer encoding is applied to the leaves of the MIME entity.

When an "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) message is received, the security services on the message are processed, and the result is the MIME entity. That MIME entity is typically passed to a MIME-capable user agent where it is further decoded and presented to the user or receiving application.

In order to protect outer, non-content-related message header fields (for instance, the "Subject", "To", "From", and "Cc" fields), the sending client MAY wrap a full MIME message in a message/rfc822 wrapper in order to apply "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) security services to these header fields. It is up to the receiving client to decide how to present this "inner" header along with the unprotected "outer" header. Given the security difference between headers, it is RECOMMENDED that the receiving client provide a distinction between header fields, depending on where they are located.

When an "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) message is received, if the top-level protected MIME entity has a Content-Type of message/rfc822, it can be assumed that the intent was to provide header protection. This entity SHOULD be presented as the top-level message, taking into account header-merging issues as previously discussed.

### 3.1.1. Canonicalization

Each MIME entity MUST be converted to a canonical form that is uniquely and unambiguously representable in the environment where the signature is created and the environment where the signature will be verified. MIME entities MUST be canonicalized for enveloping and compressing as well as signing.

The exact details of canonicalization depend on the actual media type and subtype of an entity and are not described here. Instead, the standard for the particular media type SHOULD be consulted. For example, canonicalization of type text/plain is different from canonicalization of audio/basic. Other than text types, most types have only one representation, regardless of computing platform or environment, that can be considered their canonical representation. In general, canonicalization will be performed by the non-security part of the sending agent rather than the "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) implementation.

The most common and important canonicalization is for text, which is often represented differently in different environments. MIME entities of major type "text" MUST have both their line endings and character set canonicalized. The line ending MUST be the pair of characters <CR><LF>, and the charset SHOULD be a registered charset [[CHARSETS](#)]. The details of the canonicalization are specified in [[MIME-SPEC](#)].

Note that some charsets such as ISO-2022 have multiple representations for the same characters. When preparing such text for signing, the canonical representation specified for the charset MUST be used.

### 3.1.2. Transfer Encoding

When generating any of the secured MIME entities below, except the signing using the "multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+006E U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) format, no transfer encoding is required at all. "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) implementations MUST be able to deal with binary MIME objects. If no Content-Transfer-Encoding header field is present, the transfer encoding is presumed to be 7BIT.

As a rule, "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) implementations SHOULD use transfer encoding as described in [Section 3.1.3](#) for all MIME entities they secure. The reason for securing only 7-bit MIME entities, even for enveloped data that is not exposed to the transport, is that it allows the MIME entity to be handled in any environment without changing it. For example, a trusted gateway might remove the envelope, but not the signature, of a message, and then forward the signed message on to the end recipient so that they can verify the signatures directly. If the transport internal to the site is not 8-bit clean, such as on a wide-area network with a single mail gateway, verifying the signature will not be possible unless the original MIME entity was only 7-bit data.

In the case where "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) implementations can determine that all intended recipients are capable of handling inner (all but the outermost) binary MIME objects, implementations SHOULD use binary encoding as opposed to a 7-bit-safe transfer encoding for the inner entities. The use of a 7-bit-safe encoding (such as base64)

unnecessarily expands the message size. Implementations MAY determine that recipient implementations are capable of handling inner binary MIME entities by (1) interpreting the `id-cap-preferBinaryInside` SMIMECapabilities attribute, (2) prior agreement, or (3) other means.

If one or more intended recipients are unable to handle inner binary MIME objects or if this capability is unknown for any of the intended recipients, "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) implementations SHOULD use transfer encoding as described in [Section 3.1.3](#) for all MIME entities they secure.

### 3.1.3. Transfer Encoding for Signing Using multipart/signed

If a "multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) entity is ever to be transmitted over the standard Internet SMTP infrastructure or other transport that is constrained to 7-bit text, it MUST have transfer encoding applied so that it is represented as 7-bit text. MIME entities that are already 7-bit data need no transfer encoding. Entities such as 8-bit text and binary data can be encoded with quoted-printable or base64 transfer encoding.

The primary reason for the 7-bit requirement is that the Internet mail transport infrastructure cannot guarantee transport of 8-bit or binary data. Even though many segments of the transport infrastructure now handle 8-bit and even binary data, it is sometimes not possible to know whether the transport path is 8-bit clean. If a mail message with 8-bit data were to encounter a message transfer agent that cannot transmit 8-bit or binary data, the agent has three options, none of which are acceptable for a clear-signed message:

- The agent could change the transfer encoding; this would invalidate the signature.
- The agent could transmit the data anyway, which would most likely result in the 8th bit being corrupted; this too would invalidate the signature.
- The agent could return the message to the sender.

[RFC1847]"multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064)"multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) message with 8-bit or binary data in the first part, it would have to return the message to the sender as undeliverable. message. If a compliant agent that cannot transmit 8-bit or binary data encountered a prohibits an agent from changing the transfer encoding of the first part of a

### 3.1.4. Sample Canonical MIME Entity

This example shows a "multipart/mixed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER X, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+006D U+0069 U+0078 U+0065 U+0064) message with full transfer encoding. This message contains a text part and an attachment. The sample message text includes characters that are not ASCII and thus need to be transfer encoded. Though not shown here, the end of each line is <CR><LF>. The line ending of the MIME headers, the text, and the transfer-encoded parts all MUST be <CR><LF>.

Note that this example is not an example of an "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) message.

```

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=bar
--bar
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

=A1Hola Michael!

How do you like the new S/MIME specification?

It's generally a good idea to encode lines that begin with
From=20because some mail transport agents will insert a
greater-than (>) sign, thus invalidating the signature.

Also, in some cases it might be desirable to encode any =20
trailing whitespace that occurs on lines in order to ensure =20
that the message signature is not invalidated when passing =20
a gateway that modifies such whitespace (like BITNET). =20

--bar
Content-Type: image/jpeg
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64

iQCVAwUBMJrRF2N9oWBghPDJAE9UQQAtL7LuRVndBjrk4EqYBIb3h5QXIX/LC//
jJV5bNvkZIGPIcEmI5iFd9boEgvpirHtIREEqLQRkYNoBActFBZmh9GC3C041WGq
uMbrbxc+nIs1TIKlA08rVi9ig/2Yh7LFrK5EIn57U/W72vgSxLhe/zhdfoLT9Brn
H0xEa44b+EI=

--bar--

```

### 3.2. The application/pkcs7-mime Media Type

The "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) media type is used to carry CMS content types, including EnvelopedData, SignedData, and CompressedData. The details of constructing these entities are described in subsequent sections. This section describes the general characteristics of the "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I,

LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) media type.

The carried CMS object always contains a MIME entity that is prepared as described in [Section 3.1](#) if the eContentType is id-data. Other contents MAY be carried when the eContentType contains different values. See [\[ESS\]](#) for an example of this with signed receipts.

Since CMS content types are binary data, in most cases base64 transfer encoding is appropriate -- in particular, when used with SMTP transport. The transfer encoding used depends on the transport through which the object is to be sent and is not a characteristic of the media type.

Note that this discussion refers to the transfer encoding of the CMS object or "outside" MIME entity. It is completely distinct from, and unrelated to, the transfer encoding of the MIME entity secured by the CMS object -- the "inside" object, which is described in [Section 3.1](#).

Because there are several types of "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) objects, a sending agent SHOULD do as much as possible to help a receiving agent know about the contents of the object without forcing the receiving agent to decode the ASN.1 for the object. The Content-Type header field of all "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL



LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) objects SHOULD include the optional "smime-type" parameter, as described in the following sections.

### 3.2.1. The name and filename Parameters

For "application/pkcs7-mime," (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, COMMA, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065 U+002C) sending agents SHOULD emit the optional "name" parameter to the Content-Type field for compatibility with older systems. Sending agents SHOULD also emit the optional Content-Disposition field [[RFC2183](#)] with the "filename" parameter. If a sending agent emits the above parameters, the value of the parameters SHOULD be a filename with the appropriate extension:

Media Type	File Extension
application/pkcs7-mime (SignedData, EnvelopedData, AuthEnvelopedData)	.p7m
application/pkcs7-mime (degenerate SignedData certificate management message)	.p7c
application/pkcs7-mime (CompressedData)	.p7z
application/pkcs7-signature (SignedData)	.p7s

In addition, the filename SHOULD be limited to eight characters followed by a three-letter extension. The eight-character filename base can be any distinct name; the use of the filename base "smime" SHOULD be used to indicate that the MIME entity is associated with "S/MIME." (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, FULL STOP, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045 U+002E)

Including a filename serves two purposes. It facilitates easier use of "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) objects as files on disk. It also can convey type information across gateways. When a MIME entity of type "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) (for example) arrives at a gateway that has no special knowledge of "S/MIME," (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, COMMA, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045 U+002C) it will default the entity's media type to "application/octet-stream" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+006F U+0063 U+0074 U+0065 U+0074 U+002D U+0073 U+0074 U+0072 U+0065 U+0061 U+006D) and treat it as a generic attachment, thus losing the type information. However, the suggested filename for an attachment is often carried across a gateway. This often allows the receiving systems to determine the appropriate application to hand the attachment off to -- in this case, a standalone "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) processing application. Note that this mechanism is provided as a convenience for implementations in certain environments. A proper "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER

I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) implementation MUST use the media types and MUST NOT rely on the file extensions.

### 3.2.2. The smime-type Parameter

The "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) content type defines the optional "smime-type" parameter. The intent of this parameter is to convey details about the security applied (signed or enveloped) along with information about the contained content. This specification defines the following smime-types.

Name	CMS Type	Inner Content
enveloped-data	EnvelopedData	id-data
signed-data	SignedData	id-data
certs-only	SignedData	id-data
compressed-data	CompressedData	id-data
authEnveloped-data	AuthEnvelopedData	id-data

In order for consistency to be obtained with future specifications, the following guidelines SHOULD be followed when assigning a new smime-type parameter.

1. If both signing and encryption can be applied to the content, then three values for smime-type SHOULD be assigned: "signed-\*", "authEnv-\*", and "enveloped-\*". If one operation can be assigned, then this can be omitted. Thus, since "certs-only" can only be signed, "signed-" is omitted.
2. A common string for a content OID SHOULD be assigned. We use "data" for the id-data content OID when MIME is the inner content.
3. If no common string is assigned, then the common string of "OID.<oid>" is recommended (for example, "OID.2.16.840.1.101.3.4.1.2" would be AES-128 CBC).

It is explicitly intended that this field be a suitable hint for mail client applications to indicate whether a message is "signed", "authEnveloped", or "enveloped" without having to tunnel into the CMS payload.

A registry for additional smime-type parameter values has been defined in [\[RFC7114\]](#).

### 3.3. Creating an Enveloped-Only Message

This section describes the format for enveloping a MIME entity without signing it. It is important to note that sending enveloped but not signed messages does not provide for data integrity. The "enveloped-only" structure does not support authenticated symmetric algorithms. Use the "authenticated enveloped" structure for these algorithms. Thus, it is possible to replace ciphertext in such a way that the processed message will still be valid, but the meaning can be altered.

Step 1. The MIME entity to be enveloped is prepared according to [Section 3.1](#).

Step 2. The MIME entity and other required data are processed into a CMS object of type EnvelopedData. In addition to encrypting a copy of the content-encryption key (CEK) for each recipient, a copy of the CEK SHOULD be encrypted for the originator and included in the EnvelopedData (see [\[RFC5652\]](#), Section 6).

Step 3. The EnvelopedData object is wrapped in a CMS ContentInfo object.

Step 4. The ContentInfo object is inserted into an "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) MIME entity.

The smime-type parameter for enveloped-only messages is "enveloped-data". The file extension for this type of message is ".p7m".

A sample message would be:

```
Content-Type: application/pkcs7-mime; name=smime.p7m;
             smime-type=enveloped-data
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename=smime.p7m
```

```
MIIBHgYJKoZIhvcNAQcDoIIBDzCCAQsCAQAxgcAwgb0CAQAwJjASMRAdGgYDVQQDEw
dDYXJsU1NBABGNGvHgABWvBHTbi7NXXHQMA0GCSqGSIb3DQEBAQUABIGAC3EN5nGI
iJi2lsGPcP2iJ97a4e8kbKQz36zg6Z2i0yx6zYC4mZ7mX7FBs3IWg+f6KgCLx3M1eC
bWx8+MDFbbpXadCDgO8/nUkUNYeNxJtuzubGgzoyEd8Ch4H/dd9gdzTd+taTEgS0ip
dSJUnkVY4/M652jKKHRLFf02hosdR8wQwYJKoZIhvcNAQcBMBQGCCqGSIb3DQMHB
AgtAMXpRwZRNyAgDsif8Z9P43LrY40xUk660cu1lXeCSFOSOpOJ7FuVyU=
```

### 3.4. Creating an Authenticated Enveloped-Only Message

This section describes the format for enveloping a MIME entity without signing it. Authenticated enveloped messages provide confidentiality and data integrity. It is important to note that sending authenticated enveloped messages does not provide for proof of origination when using S/MIME. It is possible for a third party to replace ciphertext in such a way that the processed message will still be valid, but the meaning can be altered. However, this is substantially more difficult than it is for an enveloped-only message, as the algorithm does provide a level of authentication.

- Step 1. The MIME entity to be enveloped is prepared according to [Section 3.1](#).
- Step 2. The MIME entity and other required data are processed into a CMS object of type `AuthEnvelopedData`. In addition to encrypting a copy of the CEK for each recipient, a copy of the CEK SHOULD be encrypted for the originator and included in the `AuthEnvelopedData` (see [\[RFC5083\]](#)).
- Step 3. The `AuthEnvelopedData` object is wrapped in a CMS `ContentInfo` object.
- Step 4. The `ContentInfo` object is inserted into an "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) MIME entity.

The smime-type parameter for authenticated enveloped-only messages is "authEnveloped-data". The file extension for this type of message is ".p7m".

A sample message would be:

```
Content-Type: application/pkcs7-mime; smime-type=authEnveloped-data;
  name=smime.p7m
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename=smime.p7m
```

```
MIIDWQYLKoZIhvcNAQkQARegggNIMIIDRAIBADGBvjCBuwIBADAmMBIxEDAO
BgNVBAMTB0NhcmxSU0ECEEY0a8eAAFa8EdNuLs1dcdAwCwYJKoZIhvcNAQEB
BIGAgYzJo0ERTxA4xdTri5P5tVMYh0RARepTUCORZvUbcUlaI8IpJZH3/J1
Fv6MxTRS40/K+ZcTlQmYeWLQvwdltQd0IP3mhpqXzTn0YhTK1IDtF2zx75Lg
vE+ilpcLIzXfJB4RCBPtBwaHAof4Wb+VMQvLkk90oLX4mRSH1LPktgAwggJq
BgkqhkiG9w0BBwEwGwYJYIZIAWUDBAEGMA4EDGPizioC90HSsnNx4oCCAj7Y
Cb8r0y8+55106newEJohC/aDgWbJhrMKzSOwa7JraXOV3HXD3NvKbl665dRx
vmDwSCNaLCRU5q8/AxQx2SvnAbm+JKcEfC/VFdd4SiHNiUECAApLku2rMi5B
WrhW/FXmx9d+cjum2BRwB3wj0q1wajdB0/kVRbQwg697dnLYyUog4vpJERjr
7KAKawZx1RMHaM18wgZjUNpCBXFS3chQj9mTBp2i2Hf5iZ800tTx+rCQUmI6
Jhy03vdcPCCARbjn3v0d3upZYDZddMA41CB9fKnnWFjadV1KpYwv80tqsEfx
Vo0lJQ5VtJ8MHJiBpLVKadRIZ4iH2ULC0JtN5mXE1SrFKh7cqbJ4+7nqSRL3
oBTud3rX41DGsh0jppqcYHT4sqYlgZkc6dp0g1+hF1p3cGmjHdpysV2NVSUev
ghHbvSqhIsXFzRSWKiZ0igmLkv3R5LnjP4brM62Jl7y0qborvV4dNMz7m
D+5YxSlH0KAe8z6TT3LHuQdN7QCkFoIUSCaNhpAFaakkGIpqcqLhpOK4lXxt
kptCG93eUwNCCtxtx6bXufPR5TUHohvZvfeqMp42kL37FJC/A8ZH0xXy8+X
X5QYxCQNuoFwLvnIWv0Nr8w65x6lgVjPYmd/cHwzQKBTBMXN6pBud/PZL5zF
tw3QHlQkBR+UfLMWZKeN9L0KdQ27mQLCo5gQS85aifxoiA2v9+0hxZw91rP
IW4D+GS7oMMoKj8ZNYCJJsyf5smRZ+WxeBooLb3+TiGcBbCsRnfe6noLZiFO
6Zeu2ZwE
```

### 3.5. Creating a Signed-Only Message

There are two formats for signed messages defined for "S/MIME:" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, COLON, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045 U+003A)

- "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U

+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) with SignedData.

- multipart/signed.

In general, the multipart/signed form is preferred for sending, and receiving agents **MUST** be able to handle both.

### 3.5.1. Choosing a Format for Signed-Only Messages

There are no hard-and-fast rules as to when a particular signed-only format is chosen. It depends on the capabilities of all the receivers and the relative importance of receivers with "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) facilities being able to verify the signature versus the importance of receivers without "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) software being able to view the message.

Messages signed using the "multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) format can always be viewed by the receiver whether or not they have "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) software. They can also be viewed whether they are using a MIME-native user agent or they have messages translated by a gateway. In this context, "be viewed" means the ability to process the message essentially as if it were not a signed message, including any other MIME structure the message might have.

Messages signed using the SignedData format cannot be viewed by a recipient unless they have "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U

+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) facilities. However, the SignedData format protects the message content from being changed by benign intermediate agents. Such agents might do line wrapping or content-transfer encoding changes that would break the signature.

### 3.5.2. Signing Using application/pkcs7-mime with SignedData

This signing format uses the "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) media type. The steps to create this format are as follows:

- Step 1. The MIME entity is prepared according to [Section 3.1](#).
- Step 2. The MIME entity and other required data are processed into a CMS object of type SignedData.
- Step 3. The SignedData object is wrapped in a CMS ContentInfo object.
- Step 4. The ContentInfo object is inserted into an "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) MIME entity.

The smime-type parameter for messages using "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N,



SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) with SignedData is "signed-data". The file extension for this type of message is ".p7m".

A sample message would be:

```
Content-Type: application/pkcs7-mime; smime-type=signed-data;
  name=smime.p7m
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename=smime.p7m
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```

### 3.5.3. Signing Using the multipart/signed Format

This format is a clear-signing format. Recipients without any "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) or CMS processing facilities are able to view the message. It makes use of the "multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS,

WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+006E U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) media type described in [RFC1847]"multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+006E U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) media type has two parts. The first part contains the MIME entity that is signed; the second part contains the "detached signature" CMS SignedData object in which the encapContentInfo eContent field is absent. . The

### 3.5.3.1. The application/pkcs7-signature Media Type

This media type always contains a CMS ContentInfo containing a single CMS object of type SignedData. The SignedData encapContentInfo eContent field MUST be absent. The signerInfos field contains the signatures for the MIME entity.

The file extension for signed-only messages using "application/pkcs7-signature" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, NON-BREAKING HYPHEN, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+006E U+0070 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006E U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) is ".p7s".

### 3.5.3.2. Creating a multipart/signed Message

- Step 1. The MIME entity to be signed is prepared according to [Section 3.1](#), taking special care for clear-signing.
- Step 2. The MIME entity is presented to CMS processing in order to obtain an object of type SignedData in which the encapContentInfo eContent field is absent.

- Step 3. The MIME entity is inserted into the first part of a "multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) message with no processing other than that described in [Section 3.1](#).
- Step 4. Transfer encoding is applied to the "detached signature" CMS SignedData object, and it is inserted into a MIME entity of type "application/pkcs7-signature." (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, FULL STOP, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0061 U+0074 U+0075 U+0072 U+0065 U+002E)
- Step 5. The MIME entity of the "application/pkcs7-signature" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0061 U+0074 U+0075 U+0072 U+0065) is inserted into the second part of the "multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN

SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) entity.

The "multipart/signed" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0065 U+0064) Content-Type has two required parameters: the protocol parameter and the micalg parameter.

The protocol parameter MUST be ""application/pkcs7-signature"." (QUOTATION MARK, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, QUOTATION MARK, FULL STOP, U+0022 U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+0063 U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+0066 U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+0062 U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0061 U+0074 U+0075 U+0072 U+0065 U+0022 U+002E) Note that quotation marks are required around the protocol parameter because MIME requires that the "/" character in the parameter value MUST be quoted.

The micalg parameter allows for one-pass processing when the signature is being verified. The value of the micalg parameter is dependent on the message digest algorithm(s) used in the calculation of the Message Integrity Check. If multiple message digest algorithms are used, they MUST be separated by commas per [RFC1847]. The values to be placed in the micalg parameter SHOULD be from the following:

Algorithm	Value Used
MD5*	md5
SHA-1*	sha-1
SHA-224	sha-224
SHA-256	sha-256
SHA-384	sha-384
SHA-512	sha-512
Any other	(defined separately in the algorithm profile or "unknown" if not defined)

\*Note: MD5 and SHA-1 are historical and no longer considered secure. See [Appendix B](#) for details.

(Historical note: Some early implementations of S/MIME emitted and expected "rsa-md5", "rsa-sha1", and "sha1" for the micalg parameter.) Receiving agents SHOULD be able to recover gracefully from a micalg parameter value that they do not recognize. Future values for this parameter will be taken from the IANA "Hash Function Textual Names" registry.

### 3.5.3.3. Sample multipart/signed Message

```
Content-Type: multipart/signed;
  micalg=sha-256;
  boundary="-----=_NextBoundary____Fri,_06_Sep_2002_00:25:21";
  protocol="application/pkcs7-signature"
```

This is a multipart message in MIME format.

```
-----=_NextBoundary____Fri,_06_Sep_2002_00:25:21
```

This is some sample content.

```
-----=_NextBoundary____Fri,_06_Sep_2002_00:25:21
Content-Type: application/pkcs7-signature; name=smime.p7s
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename=smime.p7s
```

```
MIIBJgYJKoZIhvcNAQcCoIIBFzCCARMCAQExADALBgkqhkiG9w0BBwExgf4w
gfsCAQIwJjASMRAdgYDVQQDEwdDYXJsUlnBAhBGNGvHgABWvBHTbi7EELow
MAsGCWCgsAFLawQCAaAaxMC8GCSqGSIsb3DQEJBDEiBCCxwpZGNZzTSsugsn+f
lEidzQK4mf/ozKqfmbxhcIkKqjALBgkqhkiG9w0BAQsEgYB0XJV7fjPa5Nuh
oth5msDfP8A5urYUMjhNpWgXG8ae3XpppqVrPi2nV041onHnkByjkeD/wc31
A9WH8MzFQgSTsrJ65JvffTTXkOpRPxsSHn3wJFWP/atWHkh8YK/jR9bULhUL
Mv5jQEDiwVX5DRasxu6Ld8zv9u5/TsdBNiufGw==
```

```
-----=_NextBoundary____Fri,_06_Sep_2002_00:25:21--
```

The content that is digested (the first part of the "multipart/signed)" (LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, LATIN SMALL LETTER D, RIGHT PARENTHESIS, U+006D U+0075 U+006C U+0074 U+0069 U+0070 U+0061 U+0072 U+0074 U+002F U+2060 U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+0065 U+0064 U+0029) consists of the bytes:

```
54 68 69 73 20 69 73 20 73 6f 6d 65 20 73 61 6d 70 6c 65 20 63 6f 6e
74 65 6e 74 2e 0d 0a
```

### 3.6. Creating a Compressed-Only Message

This section describes the format for compressing a MIME entity. Please note that versions of "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) prior to version 3.1 did not specify any use of CompressedData and will not recognize it. The use of a capability to indicate the ability to receive CompressedData is described in [RFC3274] and is the preferred method for compatibility.

Step 1. The MIME entity to be compressed is prepared according to [Section 3.1](#).

Step 2. The MIME entity and other required data are processed into a CMS object of type CompressedData.

Step 3. The CompressedData object is wrapped in a CMS ContentInfo object.

Step 4. The ContentInfo object is inserted into an "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+0065 U+002F

U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) MIME entity.

The smime-type parameter for compressed-only messages is "compressed-data". The file extension for this type of message is ".p7z".

A sample message would be:

```
Content-Type: application/pkcs7-mime; smime-type=compressed-data;
  name=smime.p7z
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename=smime.p7z

eNoLycgsVgCi4vzcVIXixNyCnFSF5Py8ktS8Ej0AlCkKVA==
```

### 3.7. Multiple Operations

The signed-only, enveloped-only, and compressed-only MIME formats can be nested. This works because these formats are all MIME entities that encapsulate other MIME entities.

An "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) implementation MUST be able to receive and process arbitrarily nested "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) within reasonable resource limits of the recipient computer.

It is possible to apply any of the signing, encrypting, and compressing operations in any order. It is up to the implementer and the user to choose. When signing first, the signatories are then securely obscured by the enveloping. When enveloping first, the signatories are exposed, but it is possible to verify signatures without removing the enveloping. This can be useful in an environment where automatic signature verification is desired, as no private key material is required to verify a signature.

There are security ramifications related to choosing whether to sign first or encrypt first. A recipient of a message that is encrypted and then signed can validate that the encrypted block was unaltered but cannot determine any relationship between the signer and the unencrypted contents of the message. A

recipient of a message that is signed and then encrypted can assume that the signed message itself has not been altered but that a careful attacker could have changed the unauthenticated portions of the encrypted message.

When using compression, keep the following guidelines in mind:

- Compression of encrypted data that is transferred as binary data is discouraged, since it will not yield significant compression. Encrypted data that is transferred as base64-encoded data could benefit as well.
- If a lossy compression algorithm is used with signing, you will need to compress first, then sign.

### 3.8. Creating a Certificate Management Message

The certificate management message or MIME entity is used to transport certificates and/or Certificate Revocation Lists (CRLs), such as in response to a registration request.

Step 1. The certificates and/or CRLs are made available to the CMS generating process that creates a CMS object of type SignedData. The SignedData encapContentInfo eContent field MUST be absent, and the signerInfos field MUST be empty.

Step 2. The SignedData object is wrapped in a CMS ContentInfo object.

Step 3. The ContentInfo object is enclosed in an "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) MIME entity.

The smime-type parameter for a certificate management message is "certs-only". The file extension for this type of message is ".p7c".



### 3.9. Registration Requests

A sending agent that signs messages **MUST** have a certificate for the signature so that a receiving agent can verify the signature. There are many ways of getting certificates, such as through an exchange with a certification authority, through a hardware token or diskette, and so on.

"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v2 [[SMIMEv2](#)]"application/pkcs10" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT ONE, DIGIT ZERO, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+006F U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0031 U+0030)"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v4.0 does not require a particular certificate request mechanism. body part. Since that time, the IETF PKIX Working Group has developed other methods for requesting certificates. However, specified a method for "registering" public keys with certificate authorities using an

### 3.10. Identifying an S/MIME Message

Because "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) takes into account interoperation in non-MIME environments, several different mechanisms are employed to carry the type information, and it becomes a bit difficult to identify "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) messages. The following table lists criteria for determining whether or not a message is an "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) message. A message is considered an "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN

CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) message if it matches any of the criteria listed below.

The file suffix in the table below comes from the "name" parameter in the Content-Type header field or the "filename" parameter in the Content-Disposition header field. The MIME parameters that carry the file suffix are not listed below.

Media Type	Parameters	File Suffix
application/pkcs7-mime	N/A	N/A
multipart/signed	protocol= "application/pkcs7-signature"	N/A
application/octet-stream	N/A	p7m, p7s, p7c, p7z

## 4. Certificate Processing

A receiving agent **MUST** provide some certificate retrieval mechanism in order to gain access to certificates for recipients of digital envelopes. This specification does not cover how "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) agents handle certificates -- only what they do after a certificate has been validated or rejected. "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) certificate issues are covered in [[RFC5750](#)].

At a minimum, for initial "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) deployment, a user agent could automatically generate a message to an intended recipient requesting that recipient's certificate in a signed return message. Receiving and sending agents **SHOULD** also provide a mechanism to allow a user to "store and protect" certificates for correspondents in such a way as to guarantee their later retrieval.

## 4.1. Key Pair Generation

All key pairs MUST be generated from a good source of non-deterministic random input [RFC4086], and the private key MUST be protected in a secure fashion.

An "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) user agent MUST NOT generate asymmetric keys less than 2048 bits for use with an RSA signature algorithm.

For 2048-bit through 4096-bit RSA with SHA-256, see [RFC5754] and [FIPS186-4]. The first reference provides the signature algorithm's OID, and the second provides the signature algorithm's definition.

For RSASSA-PSS with SHA-256, see [RFC4056]. For RSAES-OAEP, see [RFC3560].

## 4.2. Signature Generation

The following are the requirements for an "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) agent when generating RSA and RSASSA-PSS signatures:

```
key size <= 2047 : SHOULD NOT (Note 2)
2048 <= key size <= 4096 : SHOULD (Note 1)
4096 < key size : MAY (Note 1)
```

Note 1: See Security Considerations in Section 6.

Note 2: See Historical Mail Considerations in Appendix B.

Key sizes for ECDSA and EdDSA are fixed by the curve.

## 4.3. Signature Verification

The following are the requirements for "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) receiving agents during verification of RSA and RSASSA-PSS signatures:

```
key size <= 2047 : SHOULD NOT (Note 2)
2048 <= key size <= 4096 : MUST (Note 1)
4096 < key size : MAY (Note 1)
```

Note 1: See Security Considerations in Section 6.

Note 2: See Historical Mail Considerations in Appendix B.

Key sizes for ECDSA and EdDSA are fixed by the curve.

## 4.4. Encryption

The following are the requirements for an "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) agent when establishing keys for content encryption using the RSA and RSA-OAEP algorithms:

```
key size <= 2047 : SHOULD NOT (Note 2)
2048 <= key size <= 4096 : SHOULD (Note 1)
4096 < key size : MAY (Note 1)
```

Note 1: See Security Considerations in Section 6.

Note 2: See Historical Mail Considerations in Appendix B.

Key sizes for ECDH are fixed by the curve.

## 4.5. Decryption

The following are the requirements for an "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) agent when establishing keys for content decryption using the RSA and RSAES-OAEP algorithms:

```
key size <= 2047 : MAY (Note 2)
2048 <= key size <= 4096 : MUST (Note 1)
4096 < key size : MAY (Note 1)
```

Note 1: See Security Considerations in Section 6.

Note 2: See Historical Mail Considerations in Appendix B.

Key sizes for ECDH are fixed by the curve.

## 5. IANA Considerations

The following information updates the media type registration for "application/pkcs7-mime" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER M, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+0066 U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+006D U+0069 U+006D U+0065) and "application/pkcs7-signature" (LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER L, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER O, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN SMALL LETTER P, LATIN SMALL LETTER K, LATIN SMALL LETTER C, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, DIGIT SEVEN, HYPHEN-MINUS, LATIN SMALL LETTER S, LATIN SMALL LETTER I, LATIN SMALL LETTER G, LATIN SMALL LETTER N, LATIN SMALL LETTER A, LATIN SMALL LETTER T, LATIN SMALL LETTER U, LATIN SMALL LETTER R, LATIN SMALL LETTER E, U+0061 U+0070 U+0070 U+006C U+0069 U+0063 U+0061 U+0074 U+0069 U+0066 U+006E U+002F U+2060 U+0070 U+006B U+0063 U+0073 U+0037 U+002D U+0073 U+0069 U+0067 U+006E U+0061 U+0074 U+0075 U+0072 U+0065) to refer to this document as opposed to RFC 5751.

Add authEnveloped-data to the list of values for smime-type.

Update references from RFC 5751 to this document in general.

Note that other documents can define additional media types for "S/MIME." (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, FULL STOP, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045 U+002E)

## 5.1. Media Type for application/pkcs7-mime

Type name: application

Subtype Name: pkcs7-mime

Required Parameters: NONE

Optional Parameters: smime-type  
name

Encoding Considerations: See Section 3 of this document

Security Considerations: See Section 6 of this document

Interoperability Considerations: See Sections 1-6 of this document

Published Specification: RFC 2311, RFC 2633, RFC 5751,  
and this document

Applications that use this media type: Security applications

Fragment identifier considerations: N/A

Additional information:

Deprecated alias names for this type: N/A

Magic number(s): N/A

File extensions(s): See Section 3.2.1 of this document

Macintosh file type code(s): N/A

Person & email address to contact for further information:  
The IESG <iesg@ietf.org>

Intended usage: COMMON

Restrictions on usage: NONE

Author: Sean Turner

Change Controller: LAMPS working group delegated from the IESG

## 5.2. Media Type for application/pkcs7-signature

Type name: application  
Subtype Name: pkcs7-signature  
Required Parameters: N/A  
Optional Parameters: N/A  
Encoding Considerations: See Section 3 of this document  
Security Considerations: See Section 6 of this document  
Interoperability Considerations: See Sections 1-6 of this document  
Published Specification: RFC 2311, RFC 2633, RFC 5751,  
and this document  
Applications that use this media type: Security applications  
Fragment identifier considerations: N/A  
Additional information:  
 Deprecated alias names for this type: N/A  
 Magic number(s): N/A  
 File extensions(s): See Section 3.2.1 of this document  
 Macintosh file type code(s): N/A  
Person & email address to contact for further information:  
 The IESG <iesg@ietf.org>  
Intended usage: COMMON  
Restrictions on usage: N/A  
Author: Sean Turner  
Change Controller: LAMPS working group delegated from the IESG

## 5.3. authEnveloped-data smime-type

IANA has registered the following value in the "Parameter Values for the smime-type Parameter" registry.

- smime-type value: authEnveloped-data
- Reference: RFC 8551, [Section 3.2.2](#)

## 5.4. Reference Updates

IANA is to update all references to RFC 5751 to this document. Known registries to be updated are "CoAP Content-Formats" and "media-types".

## 6. Security Considerations

Cryptographic algorithms will be broken or weakened over time. Implementers and users need to check that the cryptographic algorithms listed in this document continue to provide the expected level of security. The IETF from time to time may issue documents dealing with the current state of the art. For example:

- The Million Message Attack described in RFC 3218 [[RFC3218](#)].
- The Diffie-Hellman "small-subgroup" attacks described in RFC 2785 [[RFC2785](#)].
- The attacks against hash algorithms described in RFC 4270 [[RFC4270](#)].

This specification uses Public-Key Cryptography technologies. It is assumed that the private key is protected to ensure that it is not accessed or altered by unauthorized parties.

It is impossible for most people or software to estimate the value of a message's content. Further, it is impossible for most people or software to estimate the actual cost of recovering an encrypted message's content that is encrypted with a key of a particular size. Further, it is quite difficult to determine the cost of a failed decryption if a recipient cannot process a message's content. Thus, choosing between different key sizes (or choosing whether to just use plaintext) is also impossible for most people or software. However, decisions based on these criteria are made all the time, and therefore this specification gives a framework for using those estimates in choosing algorithms.

The choice of 2048 bits as an RSA asymmetric key size in this specification is based on the desire to provide at least 100 bits of security. The key sizes that must be supported to conform to this specification seem appropriate for the Internet, based on [[RFC3766](#)]. Of course, there are environments, such as financial and medical systems, that may select different key sizes. For this reason, an implementation MAY support key sizes beyond those recommended in this specification.

Receiving agents that validate signatures and sending agents that encrypt messages need to be cautious of cryptographic processing usage when validating signatures and encrypting messages using keys larger than those mandated in this specification. An attacker could send certificates with keys that would result in excessive cryptographic processing -- for example, keys larger than those mandated in



this specification, as such keys could swamp the processing element. Agents that use such keys without first validating the certificate to a trust anchor are advised to have some sort of cryptographic resource management system to prevent such attacks.

Some cryptographic algorithms such as RC2 offer little actual security over sending plaintext. Other algorithms such as TripleDES provide security but are no longer considered to be state of the art. S/MIME requires the use of current state-of-the-art algorithms such as AES and provides the ability to announce cryptographic capabilities to parties with whom you communicate. This allows the sender to create messages that can use the strongest common encryption algorithm. Using algorithms such as RC2 is never recommended unless the only alternative is no cryptography.

RSA and DSA keys of less than 2048 bits are now considered by many experts to be cryptographically insecure (due to advances in computing power) and should no longer be used to protect messages. Such keys were previously considered secure, so processing previously received signed and encrypted mail will often result in the use of weak keys. Implementations that wish to support previous versions of "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) or process old messages need to consider the security risks that result from smaller key sizes (e.g., spoofed messages) versus the costs of denial of service. If an implementation supports verification of digital signatures generated with RSA and DSA keys of less than 1024 bits, it **MUST** warn the user. Implementers should consider providing different warnings for newly received messages and previously stored messages. Server implementations (e.g., secure mail list servers) where user warnings are not appropriate **SHOULD** reject messages with weak signatures.

Implementers **SHOULD** be aware that multiple active key pairs can be associated with a single individual. For example, one key pair can be used to support confidentiality, while a different key pair can be used for digital signatures.

If a sending agent is sending the same message using different strengths of cryptography, an attacker watching the communications channel might be able to determine the contents of the strongly encrypted message by decrypting the weakly encrypted version. In other words, a sender **SHOULD NOT** send a copy of a message using weaker cryptography than they would use for the original of the message.

Modification of the ciphertext in EnvelopedData can go undetected if authentication is not also used, which is the case when sending EnvelopedData without wrapping it in SignedData or enclosing SignedData within it. This is one of the reasons for moving from EnvelopedData to AuthEnvelopedData, as the authenticated encryption algorithms provide the authentication without needing the SignedData layer.

If an implementation is concerned about compliance with National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) key size recommendations, then see [[SP800-57](#)].

If messaging environments make use of the fact that a message is signed to change the behavior of message processing (examples would be running rules or UI display hints), without first verifying that the message is actually signed and knowing the state of the signature, this can lead to incorrect handling of the message. Visual indicators on messages may need to have the signature validation code checked periodically if the indicator is supposed to give information on the current status of a message.

Many people assume that the use of an authenticated encryption algorithm is all that is needed for the sender of the message to be authenticated. In almost all cases, this is not a correct statement. There are a number of preconditions that need to hold for an authenticated encryption algorithm to provide this service:

- The starting key must be bound to a single entity. The use of a group key only would allow for the statement that a message was sent by one of the entities that held the key but will not identify a specific entity.
- The message must have exactly one sender and one recipient. Having more than one recipient would allow for the second recipient to create a message that the first recipient would believe is from the sender by stripping the second recipient from the message.
- A direct path needs to exist from the starting key to the key used as the CEK. That path needs to guarantee that no third party could have seen the resulting CEK. This means that one needs to be using an algorithm that is called a "Direct Encryption" or a "Direct Key Agreement" algorithm in other contexts. This means that the starting key is (1) used directly as the CEK or (2) used to create a secret that is then transformed into the CEK via a KDF step.

S/MIME implementations almost universally use ephemeral-static rather than static-static key agreement and do not use a shared secret for encryption. This means that the first precondition is not met. [[RFC6278](#)] defines how to use static-static key agreement with CMS, so the first precondition can

be met. Currently, all S/MIME key agreement methods derive a key-encryption key (KEK) and wrap a CEK. This violates the third precondition above. New key agreement algorithms that directly created the CEK without creating an intervening KEK would need to be defined.

Even when all of the preconditions are met and origination of a message is established by the use of an authenticated encryption algorithm, users need to be aware that there is no way to prove this to a third party. This is because either of the parties can successfully create the message (or just alter the content) based on the fact that the CEK is going to be known to both parties. Thus, the origination is always built on a presumption that "I did not send this message to myself."

All of the authenticated encryption algorithms in this document use counter mode for the encryption portion of the algorithm. This means that the length of the plaintext will always be known, as the ciphertext length and the plaintext length are always the same. This information can enable passive observers to infer information based solely on the length of the message. Applications for which this is a concern need to provide some type of padding so that the length of the message does not provide this information.

When compression is used with encryption, it has the potential to provide an additional layer of security. However, care needs to be taken when designing a protocol that relies on using compression, so as not to create a compression oracle. Compression oracle attacks require an adaptive input to the process and attack the unknown content of a message based on the length of the compressed output. This means that no attack on the encryption key is necessarily required.

A recent paper on S/MIME and OpenPGP email security [[Efail](#)] has pointed out a number of problems with the current S/MIME specifications and how people have implemented mail clients. Due to the nature of how CBC mode operates, the modes allow for malleability of plaintexts. This malleability allows for attackers to make changes in the ciphertext and, if parts of the plaintext are known, create arbitrary blocks of plaintext. These changes can be made without the weak integrity check in CBC mode being triggered. This type of attack can be prevented by the use of an Authenticated Encryption with Associated Data (AEAD) algorithm with a more robust integrity check on the decryption process. It is therefore recommended that mail systems migrate to using AES-GCM as quickly as possible and that the decrypted content not be acted on prior to finishing the integrity check.

The other attack that is highlighted in [[Efail](#)] is due to an error in how mail clients deal with HTML and multipart/mixed messages. Clients **MUST** require that a text/html content type be a complete HTML document (per [[RFC1866](#)]). Clients **SHOULD** treat each of the different pieces of the multipart/mixed construct as being of different origins. Clients **MUST** treat each encrypted or signed piece of a MIME message as being of different origins both from unprotected content and from each other.

## 7. References

### 7.1. Normative References

- [ASN.1] "Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation (ASN.1)", July 2019. ASN.1 syntax references consist of the following: [X.680], [X.681], [X.682], and [X.683].
- [CHARSETS] "Character sets assigned by IANA", July 2019 ,  
<<http://www.iana.org/assignments/character-sets>>.
- [CMS] "Cryptographic Message Syntax", July 2019. This is the set of documents dealing with the cryptographic message syntax and refers to [RFC5652] and [RFC5083].
- [ESS] "Enhanced Security Services for S/MIME", July 2019. This is the set of documents dealing with enhanced security services and refers to [RFC2634] and [RFC5035].
- [FIPS186-4] National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", DOI 10.6028/NIST.FIPS.186-4, Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 186-4, July 2013 ,  
<<https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/fips/nist.fips.186-4.pdf>>.
- [MIME-SPEC] "MIME Message Specifications", July 2019. This is the set of documents that define how to use MIME. These documents are [RFC2045], [RFC2046], [RFC2047], [RFC2049], [RFC6838], and [RFC4289].
- [RFC1847] Galvin, J., Murphy, S., Crocker, S., and N. Freed, "Security Multiparts for MIME: Multipart/Signed and Multipart/Encrypted", RFC 1847, DOI 10.17487/RFC1847, October 1995 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1847>>.
- [RFC2045] Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies", RFC 2045, DOI 10.17487/RFC2045, November 1996 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2045>>.
- [RFC2046] Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types", RFC 2046, DOI 10.17487/RFC2046, November 1996 ,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2046>>.
- [RFC2047] Moore, K., "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text", RFC 2047, DOI 10.17487/RFC2047, November 1996 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2047>>.

- 
- [RFC2049] Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Five: Conformance Criteria and Examples", RFC 2049, DOI 10.17487/RFC2049, November 1996 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2049>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC2183] Troost, R., Dorner, S., and K. Moore, Ed., "Communicating Presentation Information in Internet Messages: The Content-Disposition Header Field", RFC 2183, DOI 10.17487/RFC2183, August 1997 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2183>>.
- [RFC2634] Hoffman, P., Ed., "Enhanced Security Services for S/MIME", RFC 2634, DOI 10.17487/RFC2634, June 1999 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2634>>.
- [RFC3274] Gutmann, P., "Compressed Data Content Type for Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 3274, DOI 10.17487/RFC3274, June 2002 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3274>>.
- [RFC3370] Housley, R., "Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS) Algorithms", RFC 3370, DOI 10.17487/RFC3370, August 2002 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3370>>.
- [RFC3560] Housley, R., "Use of the RSAES-OAEP Key Transport Algorithm in Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 3560, DOI 10.17487/RFC3560, July 2003 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3560>>.
- [RFC3565] Schaad, J., "Use of the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Encryption Algorithm in Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 3565, DOI 10.17487/RFC3565, July 2003 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3565>>.
- [RFC4056] Schaad, J., "Use of the RSASSA-PSS Signature Algorithm in Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 4056, DOI 10.17487/RFC4056, June 2005 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4056>>.
- [RFC4086] Eastlake 3rd, D., Schiller, J., and S. Crocker, "Randomness Requirements for Security", BCP 106, RFC 4086, DOI 10.17487/RFC4086, June 2005 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4086>>.
- [RFC4289] Freed, N. and J. Klensin, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Four: Registration Procedures", BCP 13, RFC 4289, DOI 10.17487/RFC4289, December 2005 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4289>>.

- [RFC5035]** Schaad, J., "Enhanced Security Services (ESS) Update: Adding CertID Algorithm Agility", RFC 5035, DOI 10.17487/RFC5035, August 2007 ,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5035>>.
- [RFC5083]** Housley, R., "Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS) Authenticated-Enveloped-Data Content Type", RFC 5083, DOI 10.17487/RFC5083, November 2007 ,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5083>>.
- [RFC5084]** Housley, R., "Using AES-CCM and AES-GCM Authenticated Encryption in the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 5084, DOI 10.17487/RFC5084, November 2007 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5084>>.
- [RFC5652]** Housley, R., "Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", STD 70, RFC 5652, DOI 10.17487/RFC5652, September 2009 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5652>>.
- [RFC5753]** Turner, S. and D. Brown, "Use of Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) Algorithms in Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 5753, DOI 10.17487/RFC5753, January 2010 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5753>>.
- [RFC5754]** Turner, S., "Using SHA2 Algorithms with Cryptographic Message Syntax", RFC 5754, DOI 10.17487/RFC5754, January 2010 ,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5754>>.
- [RFC6838]** Freed, N., Klensin, J., and T. Hansen, "Media Type Specifications and Registration Procedures", BCP 13, RFC 6838, DOI 10.17487/RFC6838, January 2013 ,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6838>>.
- [RFC8174]** Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017 ,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8418]** Housley, R., "Use of the Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Algorithm with X25519 and X448 in the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 8418,

- DOI 10.17487/RFC8418, August 2018 ,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8418>>.
- [RFC8419]** Housley, R., "Use of Edwards-Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (EdDSA) Signatures in the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 8419, DOI 10.17487/RFC8419, August 2018 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8419>>.
- [RFC8550]** Schaad, J., Ramsdell, B., and S. Turner, "Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 4.0 Certificate Handling ", RFC 8550, DOI 10.17487/RFC8550, January 2019 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8550>>.
- [SMIMEv4]** "S/MIME version 4.0", July 2019. This group of documents represents S/MIME version 4.0. These documents are [\[RFC2634\]](#), this document (RFC 8551), [\[RFC8550\]](#), [\[RFC5652\]](#), and [\[RFC5035\]](#).
- [X.680]** "Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Specification of basic notation ", ISO/IEC 8824-1:2015, ITU-T Recommendation X.680, August 2015 , <<https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.680>>.
- [X.681]** "Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Information object specification ", ISO/IEC 8824-2:2015, ITU-T Recommendation X.681, August 2015 , <<https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.681>>.
- [X.682]** "Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Constraint specification ", ISO/IEC 8824-3:2015, ITU-T Recommendation X.682, August 2015 , <<https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.682>>.
- [X.683]** "Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Parameterization of ASN.1 specifications ", ISO/IEC 8824-4:2015, ITU-T Recommendation X.683, August 2015 , <<https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.683>>.
- [X.690]** " Information Technology - ASN.1 encoding rules: Specification of Basic Encoding Rules (BER), Canonical Encoding Rules (CER) and Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER) ", ISO/IEC 8825-1:2015, ITU-T Recommendation X.690, August 2015 , <<https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.690>>.

## 7.2. Informative References

- [Efail]** Poddebniak, D., Dresen, C., Muller, J., Ising, F., Schinzel, S., Friedberger, S., Somorovsky, J., and J. Schwenk, "Efail: Breaking S/MIME and OpenPGP Email

- Encryption using Exfiltration Channels", UsenixSecurity 2018, August 2018 ,  
<<https://www.usenix.org/system/files/conference/usenixsecurity18/sec18-poddebnia.pdf>>.
- [FIPS186-2]** National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), "Digital Signature Standard (DSS) (also with Change Notice 1)", Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 186-2, January 2000 ,  
<<https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/fips/186/2/archive/2000-01-27>>.
- [RFC1866]** Berners-Lee, T. and D. Connolly, "Hypertext Markup Language - 2.0", RFC 1866, DOI 10.17487/RFC1866, November 1995 ,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1866>>.
- [RFC2268]** Rivest, R., "A Description of the RC2(r) Encryption Algorithm", RFC 2268, DOI 10.17487/RFC2268, March 1998 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2268>>.
- [RFC2311]** Dusse, S., Hoffman, P., Ramsdell, B., Lundblade, L., and L. Repka, "S/MIME Version 2 Message Specification", RFC 2311, DOI 10.17487/RFC2311, March 1998 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2311>>.
- [RFC2312]** Dusse, S., Hoffman, P., Ramsdell, B., and J. Weinstein, "S/MIME Version 2 Certificate Handling", RFC 2312, DOI 10.17487/RFC2312, March 1998 ,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2312>>.
- [RFC2313]** Kaliski, B., "PKCS #1: RSA Encryption Version 1.5", RFC 2313, DOI 10.17487/RFC2313, March 1998 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2313>>.
- [RFC2314]** Kaliski, B., "PKCS #10: Certification Request Syntax Version 1.5", RFC 2314, DOI 10.17487/RFC2314, March 1998 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2314>>.
- [RFC2315]** Kaliski, B., "PKCS #7: Cryptographic Message Syntax Version 1.5", RFC 2315, DOI 10.17487/RFC2315, March 1998 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2315>>.
- [RFC2630]** Housley, R., "Cryptographic Message Syntax", RFC 2630, DOI 10.17487/RFC2630, June 1999 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2630>>.
- [RFC2631]** Rescorla, E., "Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Method", RFC 2631, DOI 10.17487/RFC2631, June 1999 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2631>>.
- [RFC2632]** Ramsdell, B., Ed., "S/MIME Version 3 Certificate Handling", RFC 2632, DOI 10.17487/RFC2632, June 1999 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2632>>.
- [RFC2633]** Ramsdell, B., Ed., "S/MIME Version 3 Message Specification", RFC 2633, DOI 10.17487/RFC2633, June 1999 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2633>>.



- 
- [RFC2785] Zuccherato, R., "Methods for Avoiding the "Small-Subgroup" Attacks on the Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Method for S/MIME", RFC 2785, DOI 10.17487/RFC2785, March 2000 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2785>>.
- [RFC3218] Rescorla, E., "Preventing the Million Message Attack on Cryptographic Message Syntax", RFC 3218, DOI 10.17487/RFC3218, January 2002 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3218>>.
- [RFC3766] Orman, H. and P. Hoffman, "Determining Strengths For Public Keys Used For Exchanging Symmetric Keys", BCP 86, RFC 3766, DOI 10.17487/RFC3766, April 2004 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3766>>.
- [RFC3850] Ramsdell, B., Ed., "Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.1 Certificate Handling", RFC 3850, DOI 10.17487/RFC3850, July 2004 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3850>>.
- [RFC3851] Ramsdell, B., Ed., "Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.1 Message Specification", RFC 3851, DOI 10.17487/RFC3851, July 2004 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3851>>.
- [RFC3852] Housley, R., "Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 3852, DOI 10.17487/RFC3852, July 2004 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3852>>.
- [RFC4134] Hoffman, P., Ed., "Examples of S/MIME Messages", RFC 4134, DOI 10.17487/RFC4134, July 2005 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4134>>.
- [RFC4270] Hoffman, P. and B. Schneier, "Attacks on Cryptographic Hashes in Internet Protocols", RFC 4270, DOI 10.17487/RFC4270, November 2005 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4270>>.
- [RFC4949] Shirey, R., "Internet Security Glossary, Version 2", FYI 36, RFC 4949, DOI 10.17487/RFC4949, August 2007 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4949>>.
- [RFC5750] Ramsdell, B. and S. Turner, "Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.2 Certificate Handling", RFC 5750, DOI 10.17487/RFC5750, January 2010 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5750>>.
- [RFC5751] Ramsdell, B. and S. Turner, "Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.2 Message Specification", RFC 5751, DOI 10.17487/RFC5751, January 2010 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5751>>.
- [RFC6151]

- Turner, S. and L. Chen, "Updated Security Considerations for the MD5 Message-Digest and the HMAC-MD5 Algorithms", RFC 6151, DOI 10.17487/RFC6151, March 2011 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6151>>.
- [RFC6194]** Polk, T., Chen, L., Turner, S., and P. Hoffman, "Security Considerations for the SHA-0 and SHA-1 Message-Digest Algorithms", RFC 6194, DOI 10.17487/RFC6194, March 2011 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6194>>.
- [RFC6268]** Schaad, J. and S. Turner, "Additional New ASN.1 Modules for the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS) and the Public Key Infrastructure Using X.509 (PKIX)", RFC 6268, DOI 10.17487/RFC6268, July 2011 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6268>>.
- [RFC6278]** Herzog, J. and R. Khazan, "Use of Static-Static Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement in Cryptographic Message Syntax", RFC 6278, DOI 10.17487/RFC6278, June 2011 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6278>>.
- [RFC7114]** Leiba, B., "Creation of a Registry for smime-type Parameter Values", RFC 7114, DOI 10.17487/RFC7114, January 2014 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7114>>.
- [RFC7905]** Langley, A., Chang, W., Mavrogiannopoulos, N., Strombergson, J., and S. Josefsson, "ChaCha20-Poly1305 Cipher Suites for Transport Layer Security (TLS)", RFC 7905, DOI 10.17487/RFC7905, June 2016 , <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7905>>.
- [SMIMEv2]** "S/MIME version 2", July 2019. This group of documents represents S/MIME version 2. These documents are [\[RFC2311\]](#), [\[RFC2312\]](#), [\[RFC2313\]](#), [\[RFC2314\]](#), and [\[RFC2315\]](#).
- [SMIMEv3]** "S/MIME version 3", July 2019. This group of documents represents S/MIME version 3. These documents are [\[RFC2630\]](#), [\[RFC2631\]](#), [\[RFC2632\]](#), [\[RFC2633\]](#), [\[RFC2634\]](#), and [\[RFC5035\]](#).
- [SMIMEv3.1]** "S/MIME version 3.1", July 2019. This group of documents represents S/MIME version 3.1. These documents are [\[RFC2634\]](#), [\[RFC3850\]](#), [\[RFC3851\]](#), [\[RFC3852\]](#), and [\[RFC5035\]](#).
- [SMIMEv3.2]** "S/MIME version 3.2", July 2019. This group of documents represents S/MIME version 3.2. These documents are [\[RFC2634\]](#), [\[RFC5750\]](#), [\[RFC5751\]](#), [\[RFC5652\]](#), and [\[RFC5035\]](#).

- [SP800-56A]** National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), "Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography", DOI 10.6028/NIST.SP.800-56Ar2, NIST Special Publication 800-56A Revision 2, May 2013 ,  
<<https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/NIST.SP.800-56Ar2.pdf>>.
- [SP800-57]** National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) , " Recommendation for Key Management - Part 1: General ", DOI 10.6028/NIST.SP.800-57pt1r4, NIST Special Publication 800-57 Revision 4, January 2016 ,  
<<https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/NIST.SP.800-57pt1r4.pdf>>.
- [TripleDES]** Tuchman, W., "Hellman Presents No Shortcut Solutions to the DES", DOI 10.1109/MSPEC.1979.6368160, IEEE Spectrum v. 16, n. 7, pp. 40-41, July 1979 ,  
<<https://doi.org/10.1109/MSPEC.1979.6368160>>.

## Appendix A. ASN.1 Module

Note: The ASN.1 module contained herein is unchanged from RFC 3851 [[SMIMEv3.1](#)], with the exception of a change to the preferBinaryInside ASN.1 comment. If a module is needed that is compatible with current ASN.1 standards, one can be found in [[RFC6268](#)].

```
SecureMimeMessageV3dot1
  { iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549)
    pkcs(1) pkcs-9(9) smime(16) modules(0) msg-v3dot1(21) }

DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::=

BEGIN

IMPORTS

-- Cryptographic Message Syntax [CMS]
SubjectKeyIdentifier, IssuerAndSerialNumber,
RecipientKeyIdentifier
  FROM CryptographicMessageSyntax
  { iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549)
    pkcs(1) pkcs-9(9) smime(16) modules(0) cms-2001(14) };

-- id-aa is the arc with all new authenticated and unauthenticated
-- attributes produced by the S/MIME Working Group.

id-aa OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {iso(1) member-body(2) usa(840)
  rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-9(9) smime(16) attributes(2)}

-- S/MIME Capabilities provides a method of broadcasting the
-- symmetric capabilities understood. Algorithms SHOULD be ordered
-- by preference and grouped by type.

smimeCapabilities OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {iso(1) member-body(2)
  us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-9(9) 15}

SMIMECapability ::= SEQUENCE {
  capabilityID OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
  parameters ANY DEFINED BY capabilityID OPTIONAL }

SMIMECapabilities ::= SEQUENCE OF SMIMECapability

-- Encryption Key Preference provides a method of broadcasting the
-- preferred encryption certificate.

id-aa-encrypKeyPref OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-aa 11}

SMIMEEncryptionKeyPreference ::= CHOICE {
  issuerAndSerialNumber [0] IssuerAndSerialNumber,
  receiptKeyId [1] RecipientKeyIdentifier,
  subjectAltKeyIdentifier [2] SubjectKeyIdentifier
}

-- "receiptKeyId" is spelled incorrectly but is kept for
-- historical reasons.

id-smime OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1) member-body(2) us(840)
  rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-9(9) 16 }
```

```
id-cap OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-smime 11 }

-- The preferBinaryInside OID indicates an ability to receive
-- messages with binary encoding inside the CMS wrapper.
-- The preferBinaryInside attribute's value field is ABSENT.

id-cap-preferBinaryInside OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-cap 1 }

-- The following is a list of OIDs to be used with S/MIME v3.

-- Signature Algorithms Not Found in [RFC3370], [RFC5754], [RFC4056],
-- and [RFC3560]

--
-- md2WithRSAEncryption OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::=
--   {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-1(1)
--   2}

--
-- Other Signed Attributes
--
-- signingTime OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::=
--   {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-9(9)
--   5}
-- See [CMS] for a description of how to encode the attribute
-- value.

SMIMECapabilitiesParametersForRC2CBC ::= INTEGER
--   (RC2 Key Length (number of bits))

END
```

## Appendix B. Historic Mail Considerations

Over the course of updating the S/MIME specifications, the set of recommended algorithms has been modified each time the documents have been updated. This means that if a user has historic emails and their user agent has been updated to only support the current set of recommended algorithms, some of those old emails will no longer be accessible. It is strongly suggested that user agents implement some of the following algorithms for dealing with historic emails.

This appendix contains a number of references to documents that have been obsoleted or replaced. This is intentional, as the updated documents often do not have the same information in them.

## B.1. DigestAlgorithmIdentifier

The following algorithms have been called out for some level of support by previous S/MIME specifications:

- SHA-1 was dropped in [SMIMEv4]. SHA-1 is no longer considered to be secure, as it is no longer collision resistant. The IETF statement on SHA-1 can be found in [RFC6194], but it is out of date relative to the most recent advances.
- MD5 was dropped in [SMIMEv4]. MD5 is no longer considered to be secure, as it is no longer collision resistant. Details can be found in [RFC6151].

## B.2. Signature Algorithms

There are a number of problems with validating signatures on sufficiently historic messages. For this reason, it is strongly suggested that user agents treat these signatures differently from those on current messages. These problems include the following:

- Certification authorities are not required to keep certificates on a CRL beyond one update after a certificate has expired. This means that unless CRLs are cached as part of the message it is not always possible to check to see if a certificate has been revoked. The same problems exist with Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) responses, as they may be based on a CRL rather than on the certificate database.
- RSA and DSA keys of less than 2048 bits are now considered by many experts to be cryptographically insecure (due to advances in computing power). Such keys were previously considered secure, so the processing of historic signed messages will often result in the use of weak keys. Implementations that wish to support previous versions of "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) or process old messages need to consider the security risks that result from smaller key sizes (e.g., spoofed messages) versus the costs of denial of service.

[SMIMEv3.1] set the lower limit on suggested key sizes for creating and validation at 1024 bits. Prior to that, the lower bound on key sizes was 512 bits.

- Hash functions used to validate signatures on historic messages may no longer be considered to be secure (see below). While there are not currently any known practical pre-image or second pre-image attacks against MD5 or SHA-1, the fact that they are no longer considered to be

collision resistant implies that the security levels of the signatures are generally considered suspect. If a message is known to be historic and it has been in the possession of the client for some time, then it might still be considered to be secure.

- The previous two issues apply to the certificates used to validate the binding of the public key to the identity that signed the message as well.

The following algorithms have been called out for some level of support by previous S/MIME specifications:

- RSA with MD5 was dropped in [\[SMIMEv4\]](#). MD5 is no longer considered to be secure, as it is no longer collision resistant. Details can be found in [\[RFC6151\]](#).
- RSA and DSA with SHA-1 were dropped in [\[SMIMEv4\]](#). SHA-1 is no longer considered to be secure, as it is no longer collision resistant. The IETF statement on SHA-1 can be found in [\[RFC6194\]](#), but it is out of date relative to the most recent advances.
- DSA with SHA-256 was dropped in [\[SMIMEv4\]](#). DSA has been replaced by elliptic curve versions.

As requirements for "mandatory to implement" have changed over time, some issues have been created that can cause interoperability problems:

- "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v2 clients are only required to verify digital signatures using the rsaEncryption algorithm with SHA-1 or MD5 and might not implement id-dsa-with-sha1 or id-dsa at all.
- "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v3 clients might only implement signing or signature verification using id-dsa-with-sha1 and might also use id-dsa as an AlgorithmIdentifier in this field.
- Note that "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v3.1 clients support verifying id-dsa-with-sha1 and rsaEncryption and might not implement sha256WithRSAEncryption.

NOTE: Receiving clients SHOULD recognize id-dsa as equivalent to id-dsa-with-sha1.

For 512-bit RSA with SHA-1, see [RFC3370] and [FIPS186-2] without Change Notice 1; for 512-bit RSA with SHA-256, see [RFC5754] and [FIPS186-2] without Change Notice 1; and for 1024-bit through 2048-bit RSA with SHA-256, see [RFC5754] and [FIPS186-2] with Change Notice 1. The first reference provides the signature algorithm's OID, and the second provides the signature algorithm's definition.

For 512-bit DSA with SHA-1, see [RFC3370] and [FIPS186-2] without Change Notice 1; for 512-bit DSA with SHA-256, see [RFC5754] and [FIPS186-2] without Change Notice 1; for 1024-bit DSA with SHA-1, see [RFC3370] and [FIPS186-2] with Change Notice 1; and for 1024-bit and above DSA with SHA-256, see [RFC5754] and [FIPS186-4]. The first reference provides the signature algorithm's OID, and the second provides the signature algorithm's definition.

### B.3. ContentEncryptionAlgorithmIdentifier

The following algorithms have been called out for some level of support by previous S/MIME specifications:

- RC2/40 [RFC2268] was dropped in [SMIMEv3.2]. The algorithm is known to be insecure and, if supported, should only be used to decrypt existing email.
- DES EDE3 CBC [TripleDES], also known as "tripleDES", was dropped in [SMIMEv4]. This algorithm is removed from the list of supported algorithms because (1) it has a 64-bit block size and (2) it offers less than 128 bits of security. This algorithm should be supported only to decrypt existing email; it should not be used to encrypt new emails.

### B.4. KeyEncryptionAlgorithmIdentifier

The following algorithms have been called out for some level of support by previous S/MIME specifications:

- DH ephemeral-static mode, as specified in [RFC3370] and [SP800-57], was dropped in [SMIMEv4].
- RSA key sizes have been increased over time. Decrypting old mail with smaller key sizes is reasonable; however, new mail should use the updated key sizes.

For 1024-bit DH, see [RFC3370]. For 1024-bit and larger DH, see [SP800-56A]; regardless, use the KDF, which is from X9.42, specified in [RFC3370].



## Appendix C. Moving S/MIME v2 Message Specification to Historic Status

The "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v3 [[SMIMEv3](#)], v3.1 [[SMIMEv3.1](#)], and v3.2 [[SMIMEv3.2](#)]"S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) v2 Message Specification specifications are backward compatible with the [[SMIMEv2](#)], with the exception of the algorithms (dropped RC2/40 requirement and added DSA and RSASSA-PSS requirements). Therefore, RFC 2311 [[SMIMEv2](#)] was moved to Historic status.

## Acknowledgements

Many thanks go out to the other authors of the "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) version 2 Message Specification RFC: Steve Dusse, Paul Hoffman, Laurence Lundblade, and Lisa Repka. Without v2, there wouldn't be a v3, v3.1, v3.2, or v4.0.

Some of the examples in this document were copied from [[RFC4134](#)]. Thanks go to the people who wrote and verified the examples in that document.

A number of the members of the "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) Working Group have also worked very hard and contributed to this document. Any list of people is doomed to omission, and for that I apologize. In alphabetical order, the following people stand out in my mind because they made direct contributions to this document:

Tony Capel, Piers Chivers, Dave Crocker, Bill Flanigan, Peter Gutmann, Alfred Hoenes, Paul Hoffman, Russ Housley, William Ottaway, and John Pawling.

The version 4 update to the "S/MIME" (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER S, SOLIDUS, WORD JOINER, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER M, LATIN CAPITAL LETTER E, U+0053 U+002F U+2060 U+004D U+0049 U+004D U+0045) documents was done under the auspices of the LAMPS Working Group.

## Authors' Addresses

**Jim Schaad**

August Cellars

Email: [ietf@augustcellars.com](mailto:ietf@augustcellars.com)

**Blake Ramsdell**

Brute Squad Labs, Inc.

Email: [blaker@gmail.com](mailto:blaker@gmail.com)

**Sean Turner**

sn3rd

Email: [sean@sn3rd.com](mailto:sean@sn3rd.com)